

**East Midlands Gateway
Phase 2 (EMG2)**

Document DCO 6.18/MCO 6.18

ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT

Main Statement

Chapter 18

Materials and Waste

October 2025

18

The East Midlands Gateway Phase 2
and Highway Order 202X and The East Midlands Gateway
Rail Freight and Highway (Amendment) Order 202X

[SEGRO.COM/SLPEMG2](https://segro.com/slpemg2)

SEGRO

18. Materials and Waste

Contents

18.1.	Introduction	2
18.2.	Scope and Methodology of the Assessment	4
18.3.	Policy, Guidance and Legislative Context.....	20
18.4.	Approach to Assessments of Applications.....	26
18.5.	Assessment of DCO Application	27
	Baseline Conditions	27
	Potential Impacts.....	41
	Mitigation Measures	50
	Residual Effects	54
18.6.	Assessment of MCO Application	56
	Baseline Conditions	56
	Potential Impacts.....	56
	Mitigation Measures	63
	Residual Effects	65
18.7.	Assessment of EMG2 Project	66
	Baseline Conditions	66
	Potential Impacts.....	66
	Mitigation Measures	66
	Residual Effects	66
18.8.	Cumulative Effects	67
18.9.	Summary of Effects and Conclusions	68

18.1. Introduction

18.1.1. This ES Chapter reports the assessments of any potential significant environmental effects of the EMG2 Project, and its component parts, on materials consumption, waste generation and disposal, during construction and operation. The assessment is based on the project description set out in **Chapter 3: Project Description (Document DCO 6.3/MCO 6.3)**, including the development parameters set out in **Table 3.5** of that Chapter.

18.1.2. In brief, the EMG2 Project comprises three main components as follows:

Table 18.1: The EMG2 Project Components

Main Component	Summary of Component	Works Nos.
DCO Application made by the DCO Applicant for the DCO Scheme		
EMG2 Works	Logistics and advanced manufacturing development located on the EMG2 Main Site south of East Midlands Airport and the A453, and west of the M1 motorway. The development includes HGV parking and a bus interchange. Together with an upgrade to the EMG1 substation and provision of a Community Park.	DCO Works Nos. 1 to 5 including relevant Further Works as described in the draft DCO (Document DCO 3.1). DCO Works Nos. 20 and 21 including relevant Further Works as described in the draft DCO (Document DCO 3.1).
Highway Works	Works to the highway network: the A453 EMG2 access junction works (referred to as the EMG2 Access Works); significant improvements at Junction 24 of the M1 (referred to as the J24 Improvements), works to the wider highway network including the Active Travel Link, Hyam's Lane Works, L57 Footpath Upgrade, A6 Kegworth Bypass/A453 Junction Improvements and Finger Farm Roundabout Improvements.	DCO Works Nos. 6 to 19 including relevant Further Works as described in the draft DCO (Document DCO 3.1).
MCO Application made by the MCO Applicant for the MCO Scheme		
EMG1 Works	Additional warehousing development on Plot 16 together with works to increase the permitted height of the cranes at the EMG1 rail-freight terminal, improvements to the public transport interchange, site management building and the EMG1 Pedestrian Crossing.	MCO Works Nos. 3A, 3B, 5A, 5B, 5C, 6A and 8A in the draft MCO (Document MCO 3.1).

18.1.3. The materials and waste assessments of the EMG2 Project, and its component parts, have been undertaken in line with the legislation, policy and guidance described in Section 18.3 of this Chapter. This Chapter is supported by the following documents:

- **Appendix 18A:** Leicestershire County Council Contact Log (**Document DCO 6.18A/MCO 6.18A**)
- **Appendix 18B:** Technical Note Justifying the Expansive Study Area in Consultation with LCC (**Document DCO 6.18B/MCO 6.18B**)
- **Appendix 18C:** Updated Technical Note in Consultation with LCC (**Document DCO 6.18C/MCO 6.18C**)
- **Appendix 18D:** Expansive Study Area Plan (**Document DCO 6.18D/MCO 6.18D**)
- **Appendix 18E:** Site Waste and Materials Management Plan (SWMMP) (**Document DCO 6.18E**)

18.1.4. In recognition that this Chapter forms part of a single ES covering both the DCO Scheme and the MCO Scheme, it makes a clear distinction between the component parts and, consistent with the dual application approach, separately assesses the impacts arising from:

- the DCO Scheme (Section 18.5);
- the MCO Scheme (Section 18.6);
- the EMG2 Project as a whole, comprising the DCO Scheme and MCO Scheme together (Section 18.7); and
- the EMG2 Project as a whole in combination with other planned development (i.e. the cumulative effects) (Section 18.8) using the list of projects identified in **Appendix 21B to Chapter 21: Cumulative Impacts (Document DCO 6.21B/MCO 6.21B)**.

Definitions of Waste

18.1.5. Definitions for the categories of waste in this Chapter are presented in **Table 18.2**.

Table 18.2: Categories of waste definition

Waste Category	Definition
Inert waste	Defined as waste: that does not undergo any significant physical, chemical or biological transformations; that does not dissolve, burn or otherwise physically or chemically react, biodegrade or adversely affect other matter from which it comes into contact in a way likely to give rise to environmental pollution or harm to human health; and where its total leachability and pollutant content and the ecotoxicity of its leachate are insignificant and, in particular, do not endanger the quality of any surface water or groundwater (see Directive 1999/31/EC 'The Landfill Directive').
Hazardous waste	Any waste that displays one or more of the hazardous properties listed in Annex III of the Waste Directive (2008/98/EC).
Non-hazardous waste	Waste that is neither classified as inert nor hazardous.

18.2. Scope and Methodology of the Assessment

- 18.2.1. The scope of this Chapter includes the assessments of the materials consumption and waste generation and disposal for the component parts of the EMG2 Project which are the EMG2 Works and the Highways Works for the DCO Scheme, and EMG1 Works for the MCO Scheme. This section is common to both applications.

Consultation

Scoping Opinion

- 18.2.2. An EIA Scoping Report for the EMG2 Project (**Document DCO 6.1C/MCO 6.1C**) was submitted to the Planning Inspectorate (PINS) in August 2024. A Scoping Opinion (**Document DCO 6.1D/MCO 6.1D**) was adopted by PINS on the 24th of September 2024. **Table 18.3** summarises the relevant comments from the Scoping Opinion with respect to Materials and Waste and provides responses as required.

Table 18.3: Scoping Opinion Comments and Responses

Originator	Issue Raised	Response to issue raised
PINS ID 2.2.11	Stated that the Scoping Report did not consider the potential for effects on materials and natural resources that may be required for the Proposed Development, nor effects arising from the expected residues or wastes that could be generated. The Inspectorate also noted that the EMG2 Main Site would include earthworks and landscape mounds that could potentially require either re-use or import of materials in their construction. The Inspectorate therefore considered that there was potential for significant materials and waste effects from the Proposed Development and that an assessment of this aspect should be included within the ES for all phases of the Proposed Development. As part of the assessment of effects, the ES should consider: • an approximate estimate of materials used in the construction of the Proposed Development, based on worst-case parameters; • the type, volume and sources of materials required;	This ES Chapter provides an assessment of effects as requested by PINS. An approximate estimate of the type and amount of materials used in the construction is provided in Section 18.5 for the DCO Application and Section 18.6 for the MCO Application respectively. Cross referencing to matters covered in other aspect chapters is provided at paragraphs 18.2.3 and 18.2.4 below.

Originator	Issue Raised	Response to issue raised
	- the volumes and nature of wastes generated; and - the likely generation of traffic as a result of any movements of materials or waste. The approach to the assessment of these matters should be discussed and, where possible, agreed with relevant consultation bodies. Appropriate cross reference to relevant other aspect chapters should be provided in the ES, such as to traffic and transport, air quality, and noise and vibration assessments.	
PINS ID 3.0.3	The Scoping Report proposed to scope out effects on minerals identified within the EMG2 Main Site on the basis that a safeguarding assessment (provided as Scoping Report Appendix 6), identified that these are low value and not viable for extraction. The Inspectorate agrees that this matter can be scoped out for the EMG2 Main Site. The Inspectorate notes that the minerals assessment provided as Scoping Report Appendix 6 does not however extend to the rail freight expansion-site or highway network improvements. The ES should therefore set out the minerals status of the Proposed Development as a whole. A description and assessment of likely significant effects should be provided in the ES, where significant effects are likely to occur.	In accordance with PINS comments, the impacts associated with the extraction of raw resources from the DCO Scheme (excluding the sub-station component) and the manufacture of products has been scoped out of the assessments for this ES Chapter. Chapter 14: Ground Conditions contains a minerals safeguarding assessment for the remaining components of the EMG2 Project which confirms minerals can be fully scoped out and is agreed with LCC (see Appendix 14K - Document DCO 6.14K/MCO 6.14K).

18.2.3. The impacts from the transportation of material resources and waste to and from the EMG2 Project are assessed in **Chapter 6: Traffic and Transport (Document DCO 6.6/MCO 6.6)** and **Chapter 19: Climate Change (Document DCO 6.19/MCO 6.19)** respectively.

18.2.4. These assessments also only cover solid waste; the management of liquid waste such as wastewater from dewatering operations is covered in **Chapters 13: Flood Risk and Drainage (Document DCO 6.13/MCO 6.13)**. Impacts on human health and controlled waters as a result of contaminated site arisings are also assessed in **Chapters 13: Flood Risk and Drainage (Document DCO 6.13/MCO 6.13)**, **Chapter 14: Ground Conditions (Document DCO 6.14/MCO 6.14)** and **Chapter 17: Population and Human Health (Document DCO6.17/MCO 6.17)** respectively.

- 18.2.5. The assessments of the construction and operational phase effects and has been prepared in accordance with the IEMA guide to 'Materials and Waste in Environmental Impact Assessment'ⁱ, the 'CL:AIRE Definition of Waste: Development Industry Code of Practice' and the 'Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB) LA110 for Material Assets and Waste'ⁱⁱ.

Local Authority Liaison

Leicestershire County Council

- 18.2.6. Direct liaison has been undertaken with the relevant officers at Leicestershire County Council (LCC). A contact log with LCC is provided in **Appendix 18A (Document DCO 6.18A/MCO 6.18A)**. This includes the relevant comments received from LCC during the statutory consultation process, which was undertaken over a six-week period between Monday 3rd February 2025 and Monday 17th March 2025 (Statutory Consultation) as well as the additional consultation over a four-week period between Tuesday 1st July and Tuesday 29th July 2025 (Additional Consultation). The contact log provides a response to issues raised as required.

Nottinghamshire County Council

- 18.2.7. A response to a request for consultation was received from Nottinghamshire County Council (NCC) and an initial meeting took place on June 11th 2025. At that meeting the SEGRO's consultants, BWB, set out the methodology for the Chapter, including the justification for choosing the study area. BWB confirmed they would issue the latest version of the Chapter for their review. The updated Chapter was provided as part of the additional consultation of which they were notified on 1st July 2025.
- 18.2.8. In consultation with NCC, whilst acknowledging the need to determine the Zone of Influence (Zol) for the assessments, they recognise that where waste *"does go ultimately will depend on contracts agreed and where the companies facilities are. [NCC further] agree that Leicestershire, Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire are likely to be the areas affected as the waste for non-specialist type of waste, but for the specialist types of waste, this may travel further afield depending on where the appropriate facility is"*.

Derbyshire County Council

- 18.2.9. Communications with Derbyshire County Council (DCC) offering consultation meetings and updating them on the project were issued on 26th March, 1st April, 3rd April, 20th May and 25th June 2025. DCC were also notified of the statutory and additional consultations. DCC did not provide any response to either of the consultations but responded to the email requests on 24th July 2025 advising that they have not had capacity to assess things yet but would like a meeting. At the time of writing a meeting was being arranged.

Other Councils

- 18.2.10. Other local authority entities, as set out in the section 42 and regulation 10 consultation list appended to the **Consultation Report (Document DCO 5.1/MCO 5.1)**, were contacted during the Statutory Consultation and Additional Consultation periods but no comments were

received and no additional direct liaison was held with such authorities. Further information regarding the scope of the assessment is provided in Paragraph 18.2.19 of this Chapter.

Baseline Data Collection

- 18.2.11. The most up-to-date sources of information, available at the time of writing, have been used to collate data for material resource availability, landfill capacity and waste recovery.
- 18.2.12. The key sources of information used to determine the baseline resource availability, landfill capacity and waste recovery conditions are:
- Department for Business and Trade Monthly 'Bulletin of Building Materials and Components'ⁱⁱⁱ;
 - Natural England Multi-Agency Geographic Information for the Countryside ('MAGIC') mapping^{iv};
 - Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs ('DEFRA') (2024) 'UK Statistics on Waste'^v;
 - Environment Agency ('EA') (2023): Waste Data Interrogator^{vi}; and
 - EA 'Remaining Landfill Capacity, England' (2024)^{vii}
 - Leicestershire County Council (2024) Local Aggregate Assessment^{viii}
 - Leicestershire County Council (2024) Authority Monitoring Report 2022-2023^{ix}
 - Derbyshire County Council (2023) Local Aggregate Assessment^x
 - Nottinghamshire County Council (NCC) and Nottingham (2023) Local Aggregate Assessment^{xi}
 - Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan (2021)^{xii}
 - Nottinghamshire County Council and Nottingham City Council (2023): Nottinghamshire and Nottingham Waste Needs Assessment: 2022-2023 update^{xiii}
- 18.2.13. Sources of data that are considered to be outdated and therefore unreliable for the purposes of assessments include:
- Derbyshire County Council and Derby City Council Waste Local Plan (2005)
 - Derbyshire County Council and Derby City Council Minerals Local Plan (2000)

Study Area

- 18.2.14. The study areas for the assessments of impacts related to materials and waste have been defined in line with the IEMA Guidanceⁱ.
- 18.2.15. Two study areas are defined. Together the 'EMG2 Project Study Area' and 'Expansive Study Area' are referred to in this chapter as 'the Study Areas':
- i. EMG2 Project Study Area – comprises the EMG2 Project area (as set out within **Chapters 1 and 2 (Documents DCO 6.1/MCO 6.1 and DCO 6.2/MCO 6.2**

respectively) and shown on the **Location Plans (Documents DCO 2.1 and MCO 2.1)**. It also includes any areas required for temporary access, site compounds, working platforms and other enabling activities. The development study area assesses waste generated through both construction and operation, namely, groundworks, construction materials and bi-products of operations.

- ii. The Expansive Study Area – extends to the availability of construction materials, and capacity of waste management infrastructure and remaining landfill void, within a defined region. For the purposes of these assessments, the region extends to a radius of 30 miles from the centre of the EMG2 Project, which was agreed during consultation with LCC (**Appendix 18B (Document DCO 6.18B/MCO 6.18B)**).

18.2.16. Recognising that there is no defined radius for waste-related matters in current best UK practice guidance, an isochrone 30-mile radius from the EMG2 Project, and its component parts, has been proposed – a thorough justification for this is outlined in **Appendix 18C (Document DCO 6.18C/MCO 6.18C)**. This approach aligns with best practice guidance for materials management, which recommends a similar scale for assessing material sourcing and waste considerations:

- WRAP (Waste and Resources Action Programme): WRAP guidance often suggests considering local sourcing and waste management within a practical range, typically up to 30 miles, to minimise transport emissions and maximise local resource use.
- CIRIA (Construction Industry Research and Information Association): Some CIRIA guides on materials and waste management discuss sustainable procurement and logistics within similar distances to reduce environmental impact.
- BS 8903: Principles and Framework for Sustainable Procurement: While not specific to a 30-mile radius, it promotes local sourcing as a key strategy, often referencing radii that align with practical transport considerations.
- DEFRA's Waste Management Plan for England: This document supports a proximity principle for waste management, which can sometimes inform practical distances such as the 30-mile guideline.

18.2.17. Based on the 30 mile radius from the centre of the Location Plans (**Documents DCO 2.1 and MCO 2.1**), the following county councils fall within the Expansive Study Area:

- Derbyshire;
- Leicestershire;
- Lincolnshire;
- Nottinghamshire;
- Staffordshire;
- Warwickshire; and
- West Midlands Combined Authority.

18.2.18. Taking into account the location of the EMG2 Project in relation to both county and local authority boundaries as well as the extent of the 30-mile study area, only Leicestershire,

Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire (hereafter known as the Refined Study Area) are included within the scope of the assessments as these will be the predominate receivers of waste from the EMG2 Project. The Refined Study Area has been defined to focus on the three predominant counties, as it is expected that the vast majority — if not all — of the waste generated within the isochrone will be managed by facilities located within these counties. This approach ensures the assessment reflects the most realistic waste management pathways without extending unnecessarily beyond the areas that will receive the waste.

- 18.2.19. While other local authorities fall within the broader 30-mile radius, they have been excluded from the assessments for the following reasons. Firstly, based on proximity and logistical considerations, it is considered highly unlikely that construction materials will be sourced from, or that residual waste will be transported to, facilities located within these more distant areas. Secondly, no relevant waste management sites were identified within the portions of the Zone of Influence that fall within these other authorities' boundaries.
- 18.2.20. Consistent with that approach, and as previously set out above, direct contact has been made with the authorities most likely to be affected by the EMG2 Project, namely Leicestershire, Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire, regardless of strict adherence to county areas. This approach ensures proportionate and realistic assessments, recognising actual material supply and waste management patterns over arbitrary administrative boundaries.

Identifying Sensitive Receptors

- 18.2.21. Sensitive receptors have been identified in accordance with IEMA guidance as follows:

For waste, the sensitive receptor is landfill capacity. Landfill is a finite resource, and hence – through the ongoing disposal of waste – there is a continued need to expand existing and develop new facilities. This requires the depletion of natural and other resources which, in turn, adversely impacts the environment.'

'Materials are, in their own right, sensitive receptors. Consuming materials impacts upon their immediate and (in the case of primary materials) long-term availability; this results in the depletion of natural resources and adversely impacts the environment.'

- 18.2.22. Sensitive receptors have been identified via a desk study of publicly available data and the use of waste management experience and professional judgement.

Sources of Waste

- 18.2.23. The EMG2 Project would generate the following types of waste during construction which are considered in the assessments:

- excavation wastes;
- demolition wastes; and
- construction wastes.

- 18.2.24. Once completed and operational, the EMG2 Project will be used for logistics and distribution and is expected to generate such waste as packaging, general waste, damaged or

unsellable goods, hazardous waste (such as batteries, electrical and electronic equipment) and organic waste.

Assessments of Construction Effects

- 18.2.25. The IEMA Guidance has been used to assess the potential construction effects from the component parts of the DCO Application (Section 18.5) and the MCO Application (Section 18.6) as well as the EMG2 Project as a whole (Section 18.7), using the process and significance criteria it sets out. Method W1 (Void Capacity, as detailed in the IEMA Guide) has been used to best reflect the scale and nature of the EMG2 Project.
- 18.2.26. In accordance with the IEMA Guidance, each assessment is a quantitative exercise that identifies the:
- type and volume of materials to be consumed by the EMG2 Project during construction, including details of any recycled materials content;
 - type and volume of waste to be generated by the EMG2 Project during construction, with details of planned recovery and/or disposal method (for example on-site reuse, off-site recycling, disposal to landfill);
 - cut and fill balance, during construction; and
 - details of any construction materials to be specified, where sustainability credentials (particularly those that improve resource efficiency) afford performance beyond expected industry standards.
- 18.2.27. **Table 18.4** sets out the average density in kilograms per litre (k/l) for the most abundant waste types during construction.

Table 18.4: Typical Estimates for the Density of Construction Waste

Waste Type	Average Density (kg/l)	Notes
Cardboard Waste	0.05–0.15	Lower density unless baled or compacted.
Plastic Waste	0.10–0.25	Very low density, particularly for loose plastics.
Wooden Pallets	0.30–0.60	Higher density, depending on size and stacking.

Assessments of Operational Effects

- 18.2.28. The CL:AIRE Definition of Waste is a regulatory construct to determine when an item, substance or material becomes waste that is currently defined under Section 75 of the Environmental Protection Act 1990¹.

¹ Environmental Protection Act 1990 is up to date with all changes known to be in force on or before 17 November 2024. There are changes that may be brought into force at a future date.

- 18.2.29. The approach to assessing the effects of waste generated from the component parts of the DCO Application (Section 18.5) and the MCO Application (Section 18.6) as well as the EMG2 Project as a whole (Section 18.7) during its operational life broadly aligns with the methodology adopted for the assessments of construction phase wastes. However, rather than the assessments solely relating to the ability of landfill infrastructure to accept any generated wastes, the assessments also consider other recovery and disposal options for the more specialist types of waste to come from the operational phase of the EMG2 Project. This is because unlike the construction phase, where associated waste, if not recycled, usually results in landfill, (e.g. surplus building materials) the types of waste to be generated during the operational phase such as oils, lubricants, electricals and batteries are more likely to require alternative forms of treatment at specialist facilities.
- 18.2.30. The operation phase assessments include:
- expected waste (likely types and estimated quantities) to arise in a typical year of operation;
 - changes to annual waste volumes from improvements or changes to operations e.g. replacement technologies, alterations in capacity of the facility etc.; and
 - changes to annual waste volumes from potential sustainability and waste reduction targets that could impact upon the composition, tonnage and management route for wastes (including internal targets or regulatory targets).
- 18.2.31. The operational waste arisings do not include end of life wastes such as decommissioning. The EMG2 Project has a long design life and potentially even longer operational life and as such it is not considered possible to reliably forecast decommissioning requirements and infrastructure far in the future.

Determining Volumes of Waste

- 18.2.32. Estimates of the likely waste generation from the operations buildings are based upon floor area and appropriate benchmark metrics from BS 5906:2005 Waste Management in Buildings – Code of Practice^{xiv}.
- 18.2.33. Potential wastes are quantified using metrics appropriate to the building use, with estimates generated based on the known site occupation split between Logistics and Advanced Manufacturing and allowing up to 20% ancillary ‘Offices’ including maintenance storage. This average takes into account the fact that most occupiers will have much lower office content but some, and, in particular, Maersk, as described in **Chapter 3: Project Description (Document DCO 6.3/MCO 6.3)**, may have higher office content. Accordingly:
- To quantify estimated potential industrial wastes, a metric of 5 litres is applied to every square metre of floorspace across operational areas.
 - To quantify estimated potential office wastes, a metric of 50 litres is applied for each employee across operational areas.
- 18.2.34. Typical ratios for waste in logistics buildings are as follows:

- Packaging Waste: Accounts for approximately 60–70% of total waste in non-specialist warehouses.
- General and Operational Waste: Around 20–30%.
- Hazardous and Maintenance Waste: Typically 5–10%, depending on the warehouse's specific operations.

18.2.35. To enable a calculation of weight to benchmark against the capacity of waste receptors, it is necessary to convert typical volumes (litres) to cubic metres and/or tonnes. Converting litres of waste to tonnes depends on the density of the waste material, as the relationship between volume (litres) and weight (tonnes) varies significantly based on the material's composition. Steps to converting litres to tonnes are as follows:

- Determine the Volume in Litres: Identify the total volume of waste in litres.
- Identify the Waste Type: Determine the type of waste (e.g., water, oil, food, plastic).
- Find the Density: Use a standard density chart or data provided by the waste producer/handler. Density is typically measured in kilograms per litre (kg/l).
- Perform the Conversion: Multiply the volume by the density to calculate the weight in kilograms, then divide by 1,000 to convert to tonnes.

18.2.36. The general formula for conversion is:

$$\text{Weight (tonnes)} = \text{Volume (litres)} \times \text{Density (kg/l)} / 1,000$$

18.2.37. Factors influencing density include the composition of the waste (logistics buildings dealing with high volumes of cardboard and plastic will have lower-density waste compared to facilities handling food products or scrap metal), compaction (using balers and compactors significantly increases density and reduces storage/transportation volume) and moisture content (organic waste, such as food or cleaning residues, increases the average density due to higher water content).

18.2.38. The average density of logistics and advanced manufacturing buildings waste varies depending on the type of materials being handled, operations within the warehouse, and how the waste is managed (e.g., loose or compacted). However, typical estimates^{xv,xvi} for the density of mixed warehouse waste are presented in **Table 18.5** below.

Table 18.5: Typical Estimates for the Density of Mixed Logistics and Advanced Manufacturing Building Waste

Waste Type	Average Density (kg/l)	Notes
General Mixed Waste (Uncompacted)	0.30-0.50	Includes plastics, cardboard, and general refuse.
General Mixed Waste (Compacted)	0.30-0.50	After compaction, density increases significantly.
Cardboard Waste	0.05–0.15	Lower density unless baled or compacted.
Plastic Waste	0.10–0.25	Very low density, particularly for loose plastics.
Wooden Pallets	0.30–0.60	Higher density, depending on size and stacking.
Food Waste	0.60–0.80	Organic material with high moisture content.
Hazardous Waste	1.00–1.50	Includes batteries, WEEE, and chemical residues.

- 18.2.39. Given the amount and type of waste cannot be determined at this stage, the average density for General Mixed Waste is to be applied. The upper value (0.50 kg/l) will be taken as a worst case scenario.

Determining the Significance of Effect

Determining the Sensitivity of Receptors

- 18.2.40. The sensitivity of waste is determined by considering the baseline and forecast future baseline of regional (Refined Study Area) landfill void capacity in the absence of the EMG2 Project. Landfill capacity is recognised as an unsustainable and increasingly scarce option for managing waste.
- 18.2.41. The sensitivity of materials relates to the regional (Refined Study Area) availability and type of resources to be consumed by the EMG2 Project. The sensitivity of materials is determined by identifying where one or more of the criteria thresholds are met.
- 18.2.42. The criteria for assessing sensitivity of materials and waste receptors are set out in **Table 18.6** below, in accordance with the criteria outlined in the IEMA Guidance (IEMA, 2020).

Table 18.6 Sensitivity Criteria

Sensitivity	Waste Criteria	Materials Criteria
Negligible	Across construction and/or operation phases, the baseline/future baseline of regional (or where justified, national) inert and non-hazardous landfill void capacity is expected to remain unchanged or is expected to increase through a committed change in capacity	Materials are forecast (through trend analysis and other information) to be free from known issues regarding supply and stock; and/or are available comprising a very high proportion of sustainable features and benefits compared to industry standard materials
Low	Across construction and/or operation phases, the baseline/future baseline of regional (or where justified, national) inert and non-hazardous landfill void capacity is expected to reduce minimally: by <1% as a result of wastes forecast.	Materials are forecast (through trend analysis and other information) to be generally free from known issues regarding supply and stock; and/or are available comprising a high proportion of sustainable features and benefits compared to industry-standard materials.
Medium	Across construction and/or operation phases, the baseline/future baseline of regional (or where justified, national) inert and non-hazardous landfill void capacity is expected to reduce noticeably: by 1-5% as a result of wastes forecast.	Materials are forecast (through trend analysis and other information) to suffer from some potential issues regarding supply and stock; and/or are available comprising some sustainable features and benefits compared to industry-standard materials.
High	Across construction and/or operation phases, the baseline/future baseline of regional (or where justified, national) inert and non-hazardous landfill void capacity is expected to reduce considerably: by 6- 10% as a result of wastes forecast.	Materials are forecast (through trend analysis and other information) to suffer from known issues regarding supply and stock; and/or comprise little or no sustainable features and benefits compared to industry-standard materials.
Very High	Across construction and/or operation phases, the baseline/future baseline of regional (or where justified, national) inert and non-hazardous landfill void capacity is expected to reduce very considerably: by >10%; end during construction or operation; is already known to be unavailable; or would require new capacity or infrastructure to be put in place to meet forecast demand.	Materials are known to be insufficient in terms of production, supply and/or stock; and/or comprise no sustainable features and benefits compared to industry-standard materials.

Determining the Magnitude of Impacts

- 18.2.43. The magnitude of impact describes the degree of variation from the baseline conditions as a result of the component parts of the DCO Application, the MCO Application and the EMG2 Project as a whole. The IEMA Guidance (IEMA, 2020) for assessing the magnitude of impact from materials comprises a percentage-based approach that determines the influence of construction materials use on the baseline national demand from the construction of the EMG2 Project. The criteria used to assess the magnitude of impact for materials are provided in **Table 18.7**.

Table 18.7 Materials Magnitude Criteria

Sensitivity	Materials Criteria
No Change	...no materials are required.
Negligible	...no individual material type is equal to or greater than 1% by volume of the regional baseline availability
Minor	...one or more materials is between 1-5% by volume of the regional baseline availability.
Moderate	...one or more materials is between 6-10% by volume of the regional baseline availability
Major	...one or more materials is >10% by volume of the regional baseline availability.

Waste

- 18.2.44. IEMA stipulates that *“a single and unified method for assessing the magnitude of impact from the generation and disposal of waste is felt to be too restrictive by comparison with the number and variety of development types potentially subject to environmental assessment”*. The guidance, therefore, offers two methods and describes their relative merits:
- Method ‘W1’ – Void Capacity - quantifies the amount of waste likely to be recovered and diverted from landfill in accordance with annual targets; and
 - Method ‘W2’ – Landfill Diversion – compares developments to a good practice landfill diversion rates (as achieved and exceeded by major UK developments).
- 18.2.45. Methods W1 and W2 should not be combined either in part or fully, as this would cause ambiguity and a lack of clarity in reporting.
- 18.2.46. In this assessment, Method W1 will be used to work out how much waste is likely to end up in landfill. This calculation takes into account the amount of waste that can be recovered, reused, or recycled, so it gives a clear picture of the actual landfill burden from the development.
- 18.2.47. Method W2 will then be applied as a sense-check. It looks at the small proportion of waste that cannot realistically be diverted from landfill and compares this to recognised good practice standards for landfill diversion.

- 18.2.48. In other words, W1 shows the actual quantity of landfill waste, while W2 shows whether the development is performing in line with good practice. The criteria used to judge the scale of impact are set out in **Table 18.8** below.

Table 18.8: Waste Magnitude Criteria

Magnitude	Method W1	Method W2
No Change	Zero waste generation and disposal from the development.	...100% landfill diversion.
Negligible	Waste generated by the development will reduce regional landfill void capacity baseline by <1%	...90-99% landfill diversion.
Minor	Waste generated by the development will reduce regional landfill void capacity baseline by <1-5%	...60-89% landfill diversion..
Moderate	Waste generated by the development will reduce regional landfill void capacity baseline by <6-10%	...30-59% landfill diversion.
Major	Waste generated by the development will reduce regional landfill void capacity baseline by >10%	...<30% landfill diversion.

Determining the Significance of Effect

- 18.2.49. The overall significance of effects from materials and waste are determined in accordance with the IEMA Guidance (Section 11), by comparing sensitivity and magnitude within the matrix provided in **Table 18.9**.
- 18.2.50. Effects that are classified as 'moderate' or greater are considered to be 'significant' in EIA terms.

Table 18.9: IEMA Significance Matrix

Magnitude of Impact		Sensitivity of Receptor				
		Negligible	Low	Medium	High	Very high
Magnitude of Impact	No change	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible
	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Minor
	Minor	Minor	Minor	Minor	Moderate*	Moderate*
	Moderate	Minor	Minor	Moderate*	Moderate*	Major*
	Major	Minor	Moderate*	Moderate*	Major*	Major*

* These effects are considered significant for the purposes of the EIA Regulations.

Determining the Duration of Effect

18.2.51. The duration of effect is defined in **Table 18.10**.

Table 18.10: Duration of Effect Definitions

Duration	Definition
Short-term	The effects would be of short duration and would not last more than 2-5 years.
Medium-Term	The effects would take 5-15 years to be mitigated.
Long-term	The effects would be reasonably mitigated over a long period of time (15 years or more).

Limitations and Assumptions

18.2.52. This section outlines the limitations, uncertainties, and assumptions made in undertaking the materials and waste assessments reported in this chapter:

- This assessments have been undertaken as a desk-based study, using the most recent publicly available information which is up to and including 2023 (unless stated otherwise).
- No quantified data was available for materials currently required for maintenance and agriculture at the current site proposed for the DCO Scheme.
- No information on steel production is currently available at a regional level.

- The data to be used on the DCO Scheme and MCO Scheme have been estimated using the Maximum Parameters shown on the Parameters Plan (**Document DCO 2.5 and MCO 2.5**), summarised in **Table 18.11**.
- The resources that are expected to be consumed and waste that is expected to arise during the operation phase of the EMG2 Project have been assessed based on the information provided and the development proposals. Accurate estimates of likely waste generation volumes during operation will, to a significant extent, be dictated by the system processes to be utilised by the ultimate occupiers.
- Both quarry and landfill operators can claim commercial confidentiality for their data at the time of submission; data for sites with a commercial confidentiality agreement in place are therefore unavailable for the baseline presented in this Chapter. However, this is not likely to affect these assessments as reasonable assumptions can be made based on available data.
- In line with the IEMA Guidance, a lifecycle assessment (including embodied carbon and water) of materials will not be part of these assessments. Embodied carbon has been assessed in **Chapter 19: Climate Change (Document DCO 6.19/MCO 6.19)**.
- For the assessments, the landfill capacity has been based on a projection of available capacity data from the EA's most current 'Remaining Landfill Capacity, England' data^{vii}. Although the bulk of the waste would be sent to landfill during the construction of the development, the construction period will span a number of years and will not fall solely in one year. However, 2027 has been deemed as an appropriate approximation of the availability of capacity as it is expected that 2027 will experience peak earthworks activity during the construction period. Operational recycling rates have been set at a minimum of 70% recovery as a worst-case scenario based on current recycling of 64.8% as per Defra's most current statistics^v and a target of 70% set for 2030 as yet in the Waste Strategy for England (2018).

Table 18.11: DCO and MCO Applications Maximum Parameters Summary

Design Component	Maximum DCO Application Parameter	Maximum MCO Application Parameter
Employment floorspace (GIA)	300,000 sq.m	27,000 sq.m
Internal mezzanine	200,000 sq.m	3,500 sq.m
Development zones	7	1
External hardstanding / highways	Approx. 76,000 sq.m	N/A
Cut and fill volume	Deficit of approximately 17,000m ³ (which is within the tolerance of what is considered to be a balanced cut and fill)	Deficit of approximately 37,382m ³ , although there is flexibility to reduce this deficit.

Design Component	Maximum DCO Application Parameter	Maximum MCO Application Parameter
Bus terminal and office within Zone 6 of EMG2 Main Site	Up to 500 sq.m	N/A
HGV Parking and amenity building within Zone 7 of EMG2 Main Site	Up to 500 sq.m	N/A
Access works (management suite extension) within EMG1 Works	N/A	500 sq.m

Cumulative Effects

- 18.2.53. The Study Area for the consideration of cumulative effects comprises a 5km radius from the application boundaries shown on the **Location Plans (Documents DCO 2.1 and MCO 2.1)**, which has been used for the assessment within **Chapter 21: Cumulative Impacts (Document DCO 6.21/MCO 6.21)**.
- 18.2.54. A precautionary approach has been adopted to ensure that any potentially significant effects (including cumulative effects) have been effectively identified. It should be noted that as part of their planning function, Waste Planning Authorities (WPAs) are required to ensure that enough land is available to accommodate facilities for the treatment of all waste arising in the area, either within the WPA area, or through export to suitable facilities in other areas. Furthermore, Minerals Planning Authorities (MPAs) are similarly required to ensure an adequate supply of minerals, sufficient to meet the needs of national and regional supply policies, and local development needs.

18.3. Policy, Guidance and Legislative Context

18.3.1. The policy, legislation, and guidance relevant to the assessments of materials and waste is detailed in **Table 18.12**. This section is common to both the DCO Scheme and the MCO Scheme.

Table 18.12: Relevant Policy, Legislation and Guidance

Legislation, Policy or Guidance	Description
Legislation	
Environment Act 1995, as amended in 2021	The Environment Act 1995 makes provision for targets, plans and policies for improving the natural environment. It sets out clear statutory targets for the protection and regeneration of the natural world in four priority areas, one of which is waste. Part 3 specifically refers to waste and resource efficiency, incorporating: producer responsibility obligations; resource efficiency; managing waste; and waste enforcement and regulation.
The Revised EU Waste Framework Directive 2008/98/EC	Provides a comprehensive foundation for the management of waste across the European Community and gives a common definition of waste. While the UK is no longer a member of the European Union, many of the concepts underpinning the Directive are relevant to the UK's domestic law. Article 3 of the Waste Framework Directive defines waste as "<i>any substance or object that the holder discards or intends or is required to discard</i>".
The Waste and Environmental Permitting etc. (Legislative Functions and Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2020	Aims to streamline the legislative system for industrial and waste installations into a single permitting structure for those activities which have the potential to cause harm to human health or the environment.
The Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment Regulations 2013 (as amended)	Aims to reduce the impact of electrical waste on the environment by encouraging reuse or recycling. Ensures electrical and electronic equipment is recycled in a sustainable way when it reaches end of life.
The Controlled Waste (England and Wales) Regulations 2012 (as amended)	Classifies waste as household, industrial or commercial waste. It allows local authorities to implement charges for the collection of waste from non-domestic properties.
The Waste (England and Wales) Regulations 2011 (as amended)	Stipulates the requirement for industry and businesses to implement the waste hierarchy. The Waste (England and Wales) (Amendment) Regulations 2014 amend the 2011 Regulations to clarify that the transfer of controlled waste can be recorded on alternative documentation, such as invoices, instead of waste transfer notes.

Legislation, Policy or Guidance	Description
The Clean Neighbourhoods and Environment Act 2005	Part 5, Chapter 3 of this Act specifically refers to site waste, where there may be a regulatory requirement to prepare Site Waste Management Plans and to ensure compliance with them.
The Hazardous Waste (England and Wales) Regulations 2005 (as amended)	Introduces measures to control storage, transport and disposal of hazardous waste. The Regulations provide a means to ensure that hazardous waste and any associated risks are appropriately managed.
The Waste Minimisation Act 1998	Enables local planning authorities to take the appropriate steps to reduce and minimise the generation of household, commercial or industrial waste within their area.
The Environmental Protection Act 1990	As of 2008, defines within England, Scotland and Wales the fundamental structure and authority for waste management and control of emissions into the environment. The Act outlines the requirement of the manager of a development to ensure that any excess materials or waste resulting from construction activities are recovered or disposed of without any subsequent adverse effects upon the surrounding environment.
The Control of Pollution (Amendment) Act 1989	The Control of Pollution (Amendment) Act 1989 makes it a criminal offence for a person who is not a registered carrier to transport controlled waste to or from any place in Great Britain. The Act also provides for the seizure and disposal of vehicles used for illegal waste disposal.
Policy	
National Planning Policy for Waste (NPPW) 2014	The NPPW sets out detailed waste planning policies for local authorities to consider within their Local Plan or when assessing development. According to the NPPW, local authorities are required to: • Ensure that the planned provision of new capacity and its spatial distribution is based on robust analysis of the best available data and information; • Work jointly and collaboratively with other planning authorities to collect and share data and information on waste arisings; • Ensure that the need for waste management facilities is considered alongside other spatial planning concerns; • Identify need for waste management facilities; • Identify suitable sites and areas for new or enhanced waste management facilities in appropriate locations; and • Monitoring and report on waste arisings and the amounts of waste recycled, recovered or going for disposal.

Legislation, Policy or Guidance	Description
National Policy Statement for National Networks (NPSNN)	The NPSNN sets out the UK Government’s policy for the delivery of nationally significant road and rail networks and how these should be applied, with the following paragraphs of relevance to materials and waste. The NPSNN recognises the importance of protecting human health and the environment by reducing waste safely and carefully in accordance with the principles set out in the waste hierarchy, and to maximise resource use by moving towards a more circular economy as per Paragraph 5.70. Paragraph 5.71 states that: <i>The applicant should demonstrate that they will adhere to the waste hierarchy, preventing and reducing waste produced in the first place and maximising preparation for reuse and recycling for waste that cannot be prevented. Where possible, applicants are encouraged to use existing materials first, then low carbon materials, sustainable sources, and local suppliers. Consideration should be given to circular economy principles wherever practicable, for example by using longer lasting materials efficiently, optimising the use of secondary materials and how the development will be maintained and decommissioned. Applicants should consider and take into account emerging government policy, including Maximising Resources, Minimising Waste, constituting the new Waste Prevention Programme for England and Defra’s Construction Code of Practice for the Sustainable Use of Soils on Construction-sites, which provides practical guidance on how to improve appropriate soil reuse on construction-sites and reducing the volume that is sent to landfill.</i>
National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2024	The NPPF sets out the Government’s planning policies for England and how these should be applied, with the following paragraphs relating to materials and waste. Paragraph 8 highlights that the purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development through three overarching objectives: economic, social and environmental. The environmental objective requires the planning system to protect and enhance the natural, built and historic environment by “<i>using natural resources prudently, minimising waste and pollution, and mitigating and adapting to climate change, including moving to a low carbon economy</i>”. Paragraphs 222 to 225 outline the sustainable use of minerals, which are “<i>a finite natural resource and can only be worked where they are found</i>”. Therefore, it is essential that sufficient supply is maintained through various planning policies, including safeguarding mineral resources by defining Mineral Safeguarding Areas and Mineral Consultation Areas. Specific guidance under this framework (Planning Practice Guidance) provides further information in support of the implementation of waste planning policy.

Legislation, Policy or Guidance	Description
Waste Management Plan for England 2021	Provides a detailed analysis of the present state of waste management at the national level and considers how the objectives of the Waste Framework Directive will be supported effectively. It outlines the waste hierarchy, which gives priority to waste prevention, followed by preparing for reuse, recycling, other types of recovery and finally disposal (e.g., landfill).
25 Year Environment Plan	The 25 Year Environment Plan sets out government actions to improve, regain and retain the natural world. The Plan sets out high level goals, which includes <i>“using resources from nature more sustainably and efficiently”</i> and <i>“minimising waste”</i> .
Our Waste, Our Resources: A Strategy for England 2018	Sets out how the UK Government will preserve material resources by minimising waste, promoting resource efficiency and moving towards a circular economy. The Strategy also outlines the Government’s aims to minimise the damage caused to the natural environment by reducing and managing waste safely and carefully, and by tackling waste crime. It combines actions to take now with firm commitments for the coming years and gives a clear longer-term policy direction in line with the 25 Year Environment Plan. The Strategy includes the following overarching targets: • Double resource productivity by 2050; • Eliminate avoidable waste of all kinds by 2050; • Eliminate avoidable plastic waste over the lifetime of the 25 Year Environment Plan; • Work towards eliminating food waste to landfill by 2030; and • Work towards all plastic packaging placed on the market being recyclable, reusable or compostable by 2025.
Leicestershire Minerals and Waste Local Plan up to 2031	This Minerals and Waste Local Plan includes the spatial vision, spatial strategy, strategic objectives, and core policies which guides the future winning and working of minerals within Leicestershire. These also guide the waste management development within the County. Key policies are outlined below at Paragraph 18.3.2.
Resources and Waste Strategy (2022-2050) for Leicestershire	This strategy reflects current global thinking on achieving net-zero climate change targets. It describes the recycling and waste management services to be delivered in Leicestershire from 2022 up to 2050, with a vision to work towards a circular economy and contribute to achieving net-zero carbon by 2050.
Guidance	
Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) on	The PPG, which supplements the NPPF, provides specific guidance to support the implementation of waste planning policy. It focuses on promoting sustainable waste management and ensuring that waste is managed in line with the principles

Legislation, Policy or Guidance	Description
waste ^{xvii} , published on 15 October 2015	of the waste hierarchy. This hierarchy prioritises waste prevention, followed by reuse, recycling, recovery, and disposal as a last resort. The PPG elaborates on how planning authorities should consider waste management in decision-making, including: • Safeguarding Waste Infrastructure: Ensuring existing waste management facilities are protected from incompatible developments. • Site Allocations: Identifying appropriate sites and areas for new waste management facilities to meet the needs of the local area while minimising environmental impacts. • Plan-Making: Integrating waste management considerations into local plans to align with national strategies and local waste needs. • Climate Change and Waste: Encouraging facilities and practices that contribute to a circular economy and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. This guidance aims to ensure that waste management is integral to the planning process, promoting sustainable practices that reduce reliance on landfill and encourage resource efficiency. It serves as a key tool for local authorities and developers in implementing waste policies effectively.
The Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment (IEMA) Guide to Materials and Waste in EIA	Guidance used to assess the potential impacts and effects from EMG2 Project, using the process and significance criteria it sets out. This guidance is referred to as ‘the IEMA Guide’ throughout this chapter.
Waste Duty of Care: Code of Practice (2018)	This Waste Duty of Care: Code of Practice was issued under Section 34 of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 and sets out detail on how to safely and responsibly manage wastes. The Code details the actions to be taken to prevent unauthorised treatment or disposal of waste, ensure adequate storage to prevent uncontrolled escape of waste and to properly transfer wastes to third parties.
British Standards Institution (2005) BS 5906:2005 Waste Management in Buildings - Code of Practice	The Standard details the requirements for the safe storage, collection, segregation and on-site treatment for residential and non-residential developments. The standard requires designers to ensure safe and easy access to waste facilities which adhere to the aesthetics of the site whilst avoiding social nuisance. Facilities should support the waste hierarchy and be designed in consultation with service users.

18.3.2. As noted above, LCC has produced the Leicestershire Minerals and Waste Local Plan (approved in 2019) which is referred to and used for assessing the operational cumulative effects in this chapter. Key policies applicable to this chapter include the following:

- Policy W1: Waste Management Capacity - *“The County Council will make provision for a sufficient range of waste facilities within the County of Leicestershire to manage the equivalent of the predicted arisings for the County up to and including 2031 and to meet the recycling, composting and recovery targets...”*;
- Policy DM1: Sustainable Development - *“[...]Proposals should contribute to the three dimensions (economic, environmental and social) of sustainable development, as well as providing clear evidence of how a proposal would make a positive contribution to reducing its effects on climate change...”* ;Policy DM11: Cumulative Impact – *“Planning permission will be granted for minerals and waste development where it is demonstrated that cumulative impacts on the environment of an area or on the amenity of a local community, either in relation to the collective effect of different impacts of an individual proposal, or in relation to the effects of a number of developments occurring either concurrently or successively, are acceptable.”*

18.4. Approach to Assessments of Applications

18.4.1. In recognition that this Chapter forms part of a single ES covering both the DCO Scheme and the MCO Scheme (as explained in Section 18.1 and in full within **Chapter 1: Introduction and Scope (Document DCO 6.1/MCO 6.1)**) it makes a clear distinction between the component parts and, consistent with the dual application approach, assesses the impacts arising from the DCO Scheme and MCO Scheme separately and then together as the EMG2 Project in combination. An assessment of the cumulative impacts of the EMG2 Project with other existing and, or approved developments, has also been completed using the list of projects identified in **Appendix 21B to Chapter 21: Cumulative Impacts (Document DCO 6.21B/MCO 6.21B)**.

18.4.2. Accordingly, the remaining sections of this Chapter are structured as follows:

- An assessment of the DCO Scheme within Section 18.5;
- An assessment of the MCO Scheme within Section 18.6;
- An assessment of the EMG2 Project as a whole, comprising the DCO Scheme and MCO Scheme together, within Section 18.7;
- An assessment of the EMG2 Project as a whole in combination with other planned development (i.e. the cumulative effects), within Section 18.8; and
- An overall summary and conclusions of the above within Section 18.9.

18.5. Assessment of DCO Application

18.5.1. As set out in Section 1 of this Chapter, and at **Table 18.1**, the DCO Scheme comprises the following component parts:

- The EMG2 Works: Logistics and advanced manufacturing development located on the EMG2 Main Site together with the provision of a community park, HGV parking, a bus interchange, and an upgrade to the EMG1 substation;
- The Highway Works: Works to the highway network: the A453 EMG2 access junction works; significant improvements at Junction 24 of the M1 (referred to as the J24 Improvements) and works to the wider highway network including active travel works.

18.5.2. Within this Section, reference to EMG2 Works excludes the upgrades to the EMG1 Substation except where these works are specifically referenced.

Baseline Conditions

18.5.3. This section of the chapter dealing with baseline conditions is common to both the DCO Scheme and the MCO Scheme.

Materials

18.5.4. A summary of the baseline conditions for materials, site arisings and waste are presented in this section. The baseline conditions align with the Study Areas defined in paragraph 18.2.15.

18.5.5. The development study area, which relates to EMG2 Project as set out in **Chapter 2: Site and Surroundings (Document DCO 6.2)**, comprises a mixture of arable farmland and highways land as the DCO Scheme, an area of open ground adjoining the rail freight terminal within the MCO Scheme (referred to as Plot 16). The operation and maintenance of all facilities and activities located within the development study area requires products to support arable agriculture including fertilisers, perimeter fencing as well as the intermittent use of bulk products for routine works and repairs of the existing highways and access roads where not part of the public highway (e.g. lighting, paint, concrete, masonry, aggregate and asphalt for minor re-surfacing).

Availability of Construction Materials

18.5.6. Given that the EMG2 Project is classified as Nationally Significant, the assessment of waste arisings has been undertaken with consideration of both regional and national contexts. This dual approach provides a meaningful benchmark against which the scale and potential impacts of the waste generated can be understood. By comparing projected waste quantities to both regional and national waste management capacities and data, the assessment ensures that the conclusions drawn are proportionate, robust, and aligned with the strategic importance of the EMG2 Project.

18.5.7. A summary of availability of the main construction materials in Leicestershire, Derbyshire, Nottinghamshire and the UK is presented in **Table 18.13** below. The overview excludes

technological products but provides a context in which the assessment for material consumption during construction of the EMG2 Project has been undertaken. Totals are provided as either sales, stocks or production depending on the data available for each material type. The comparison of county and national totals is done on a like-for like basis (e.g. sales vs sales) where available data allows. Where data are available over years 2018 to 2024^{xviiiix}, the most recent information has been presented. Data is provided by the Government's 'Building materials and components: monthly statistics' unless specified – where feasible, the most up to date data sets have been applied, though it is recognised that much data relates to 2022.

Table 18.13: Availability of Main Construction Materials in Leicestershire, Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire

Material Type	County Provision	UK Provision	Units	Regional Availability as a % of National Availability
Leicestershire				
Sand and Gravel ^a	0.22 (2023)	41.9 (2024)	Mt	0.53
Crushed Rock ^a	9.52	126.4 (2022)	Mt	7.53
Concrete Blocks ^b	No data.	6.7 (2024)	Mm ²	N/A
Recycled and Secondary Aggregate	No data.	7 ²⁴ (2022)	Mt	N/A
Ready-mix Concrete ^a	No data.	12.3 (2022)	Mm ³	N/A
Steel ^c	No data.	5.6 ³ (2023)	Mt	N/A
Asphalt ^a	1.2 (2019)	22 (2022)	Mt	17
Derbyshire				
Sand and Gravel ^c	0.82	41.9 (2024)	Mt	1.96
Crushed Rock ^a	14.59	126.4 (2022)	Mt	11.54
Concrete Blocks	No data	6.7 (2024)	Mm ²	N/A
Recycled and	No data	74 (2022)	Mt	N/A

² Profile of the UK Mineral Products Industry 2023 pg. 25

³ <https://commonslibrary.parliament.uk/research-briefings/cbp-7317/>

Material Type	County Provision	UK Provision	Units	Regional Availability as a % of National Availability
Secondary Aggregate				
Ready-mix Concrete	No data	12.3 (2022)	Mt	N/A
Steel	No data	5.6 (2023)	Mm ³	N/A
Asphalt	No data	22 (2022)	Mt	N/A
Nottinghamshire				
Sand and Gravel	0.87	41.9 (2024)	Mt	2.08
Crushed Rock	0 (most imported from Derbyshire and Leicestershire)	126.4 (2022)	Mt	N/A
Concrete Blocks	No data	6.7 (2024)	Mm ²	N/A
Recycled and Secondary Aggregate	No data	74 (2022)	Mt	N/A
Ready-mix Concrete	No data	12.3 (2022)	Mt	N/A
Steel	No data	5.6 (2023)	Mm ³	N/A
Asphalt	No data	22 (2022)	Mt	N/A
^a sales ^b stocks ^c production Mt million tonnes Mm ² million square metres Mm ³ million cubic metres GB: Great Britain (England, Wales and Scotland) figures used where UK figures (including Northern Ireland) are unavailable.				

18.5.8. Further analysis of the data suggests that across the UK, the availability of construction materials typically required for development in terms of stocks, production or sales remains buoyant, although information on steel production is not currently available at a regional level. Future trends are not available for scrutiny, it is noted that there may be short term fluctuations in supply.

Waste

- 18.5.9. The current land uses within the DCO Scheme are understood to generate minimal volumes of site arisings, limited to bi-products produced from the operation of agriculture and the maintenance of highways, including packaging and green waste. Most of these arisings would be expected to be diverted from landfill as a matter of good practice to reuse, recover or recycle materials.
- 18.5.10. The current land use within the DCO Scheme for the Highway Works is existing roads, verges and bunding associated with EMG1 which are likely to produce little to no waste.
- 18.5.11. The land within the area of MCO Scheme is currently unused and is not currently producing any waste.
- 18.5.12. The data presented in this section confirms the availability of waste management facilities in the Refined Study Area; these facilities are expected to enable suitable recovery of site arisings generated by the DCO Scheme and the MCO Scheme.

General Waste Management Practices

- 18.5.13. Based on the Environment Agency's 2023 Waste Data Interrogator – Wastes Received^{vi}, Leicestershire, Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire host a variety of waste management facilities. The categorisation and number of these facilities are as listed in **Table 18.14** below. It is acknowledged that not all of these facilities may accept waste produced during construction and/or operation.

Table 18.14: Waste Management Facilities Summary within the Refined Study Area

Facility Type	Number of Sites
Landfill	15
Incineration	9
Transfer	133
Treatment	125
Metal Recovery	57
Processing	8
Storage	9
Total	356
<i>Note: The numbers provided are based on the most recent data available as of November 2024. For the latest updates, please refer to the Environment Agency's official publications.</i>	

Construction Waste

- 18.5.14. Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs (DEFRA) data^v, summarised in **Table 18.15**, shows that within England the recovery rate for non-hazardous construction and demolition wastes (excluding excavation wastes) has remained above 90% since 2010.
- 18.5.15. This data shows that the recovery rate for non-hazardous C&D waste in England was 92.6% in 2020, the highest percentage across the 11 years for which data is available. Data for the years 2021 - 2024 have not yet been published. DEFRA typically releases waste statistics with a time lag to ensure data accuracy and completeness. Therefore, the most recent figures available are up to 2020.
- 18.5.16. Nationally, the UK has achieved remarkable success in waste recovery, with consistent recovery rates above 90% for construction and demolition waste, including non-hazardous materials since 2011. The government's emphasis on adhering to the waste hierarchy—prioritising reduction, reuse, and recycling—has contributed to these high rates. Policies such as landfill taxes and incentives for recycling and recovery have been instrumental in driving the UK's performance.

Table 18.15: Recovery Rate for Non-hazardous C&D Wastes

Year	Generation (Mt)	Recovery (Mt)	Recovery rate (%)
2010	59.2	53.1	89.7
2011	60.2	55.2	91.8
2012	55.8	51.0	91.4
2013	57.1	52.2	91.5
2014	61.6	56.4	91.7
2015	63.8	58.4	91.5
2016	66.2	60.6	91.6
2017	68.7	63.6	92.5
2018	67.8	63.2	93.1
2019	68.2	63.7	93.3
2020	59.4	55.0	92.6

- 18.5.17. Based on the latest EA Wastes Received Data Interrogator for 2023, the distribution of C&D waste across various management routes within Leicestershire, Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire is detailed in **Table 18.16**.

Table 18.16: Waste Management Routes Summary for 2023

County	Waste Management Route	Inert and Non-Hazardous C&D Waste (Tonnes)	Hazardous Waste (Tonnes)	Total Waste (Tonnes)	Percentage (%)
Leicestershire	Incineration, Recycling, Recovery or Transfer	671,929 (of which 26,623 is inert)	2,809	674,738	61.8
	Landfill	416,967	0	416,967	38.2
	Total	1,088,896	2,809	1,091,705	100
Derbyshire	Incineration, Recycling, Recovery or Transfer	629,159 (of which 119,024 is inert)	33,406	662,565	70.9
	Landfill	271,709	0	271,709	29.1
	Total	900,868	33,406	934,274	100
Nottinghamshire	Incineration, Recycling, Recovery or Transfer	986,209 (of which 81,758 is inert)	10,833	997,042	76.9
	Landfill	298,786	0	298,786	22.1
	Total	1,284,995	10,833	1,295,828	100

- 18.5.18. This table shows that facilities in the Refined Study Area received a total of 3,321,852 tonnes of C&D waste, both inert / non-hazardous and hazardous, in 2023. Of this total, 987,462 tonnes (30%) was sent to landfill with the remainder sent to either metal recycling sites, transfer facilities or treatment facilities. The vast majority (99.4%) of this total of 987,462 tonnes was classified as Waste Category '17 05 04', which is non-hazardous soil and stone waste, with the remainder being stabilised non-reactive hazardous waste.
- 18.5.19. The 30% of waste sent to landfill in the three counties compares unfavourably with the 28.4% of waste sent to landfill in England. This higher landfill rate may be attributed to a combination of factors, including limited access to advanced recovery facilities, lower adoption of recycling initiatives, and the presence of local landfill capacity, which can sometimes discourage investment in alternative solutions. Addressing these challenges will be key to aligning the county's waste management performance with national benchmarks.
- 18.5.20. **Table 18.17** below sets out the operational capacity of C&D waste facilities within the Refined Study Area. The table shows that there is currently a total operational capacity of 1.30 Mt per annum of landfill and 2.95 Mt per annum of recycling, reuse and/or transfer respectively.

Table 18.17: Operational capacity of C&D waste facilities within the Refined Study Area

County	Facility Type	Operational Capacity (Mt per annum)
Leicestershire	Landfill	1.00
	Recycling, Reuse or Transfer*	1.02
Derbyshire ⁴	Landfill	0.14
	Recycling, Reuse or Transfer*	0.26
Nottinghamshire ⁵	Landfill	0.16
	Recycling, Reuse or Transfer*	1.63
Total	Landfill	1.30
	Recycling, Reuse or Transfer*	2.91
Notes: * There is also permission granted for two facilities which would provide 0.23 Mt of capacity per annum Note: The landfill capacity data is based on the most recent available figures and may not reflect current capacities.		

Landfill Capacity

- 18.5.21. LCC advises that projects intending to dispose of waste to landfill must ensure that the receiving facility has adequate capacity to accept waste throughout the development's lifecycle. This requirement supports sustainable waste management practices and minimises the risk of capacity shortfalls.
- 18.5.22. Furthermore, LCC has indicated that no new landfill proposals or significant expansions are currently planned or anticipated within the county's waste management strategy timeframe (20–25 years). Should any new or extended landfill proposals emerge, the council emphasises the need to align these developments with broader environmental objectives, ensuring that void-space is managed in accordance with sustainable waste management policies and local environmental strategies.
- 18.5.23. Based on the latest data from the Environment Agency^{xx,xxi}, the landfill capacities in Leicestershire, Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire for 2022 and 2023 are provided in **Table 18.18** below. It should be noted that there are no hazardous merchant waste (which refers to any discarded materials from commercial activities that pose a risk to human health or the environment, requiring specialised handling and disposal) or restricted hazardous (a designated disposal site for hazardous waste that meets specific criteria and is subject to

⁴ 'Derbyshire County Council's Waste Collection and Disposal Update' (2024) and 'Strategy for Dealing with Derbyshire's Waste' (2022).

⁵ Nottinghamshire County Council and Nottingham City Council (2024): Nottinghamshire and Nottingham Waste Needs Assessment: 2022-2023 update

strict operational and environmental controls) landfills currently operating in these counties according to EA data.

Table 18.18: Leicestershire, Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire Landfill Capacities

County	Landfill Type	Capacity in 2022 (m³)	Remaining Capacity in 2023 (m³)	Change in Capacity (m³)	Change in Capacity (%)
Leicestershire	Non Hazardous	12,670	20,220	+ 7,550	+ 59.59
	Inert	12,617,182	12,359,915	- 257,267	- 2.04
	Non-hazardous (including stable hazardous waste cells)	10,780,468	10,603,925	- 176,543	- 1.64
Derbyshire	Non Hazardous	2,539,318	2,369,098	- 170,220	- 6.70
	Inert	91,826	53,253	- 38,573	- 42.01
	Non-hazardous (including stable hazardous waste cells)	4,864,104	4,129,622	- 734,482	- 15.10
Nottinghamshire	Non Hazardous	0*	0*	N/A	N/A
	Inert	1,733,683**	1,535,588**	- 198,095	- 11.43
	Non-hazardous (including stable hazardous waste cells)	0	0	N/A	N/A0
Total		32,639,251	31,071,621	-1,567,630	-19.33%
Note: * In consultation with NCC, it is acknowledged that whilst there are other non-hazardous landfill sites within NCC listed in the EA Remaining Landfill Capacity database, waste generated at EMG2 Project cannot be accommodated at these facilities and therefore have not been considered. ** In consultation with NCC, it is acknowledged that whilst there are other inert landfill sites within NCC listed in the EA Remaining Landfill Capacity database, waste generated at EMG2 Project cannot be accommodated at these facilities and therefore have not been considered.					

- 18.5.24. The data in this table show a general decrease in landfill capacity across most categories, with no capacity available for hazardous (restricted) waste in 2022 or 2023. The most significant reduction is in inert landfill capacity, which fell by 18.36% between 2022 and 2023. Overall, the total remaining landfill capacity across the three counties decreased by approximately 19.33% during this period, highlighting ongoing pressures on waste management infrastructure.
- 18.5.25. Baseline data indicates that inert, non-inert and total landfill capacity is likely to become an increasingly sensitive receptor throughout the duration of the construction phase and in operation of EMG2 Project, and its component parts.

- 18.5.26. As of September 2024, the remaining landfill capacity in England (excluding the County Councils listed in Paragraph 18.2.17) was considered to total 289,030,565 m³. **Table 18.19** below provides a breakdown of capacity.

Table 18.19: England Landfill Capacity

Landfill Type	Capacity in 2023 (m ³)
Non-Hazardous	141,534,918
Hazardous (merchant)	9,680,003
Hazardous (restricted)	694,790
Inert	106,584,319
Non-hazardous (including stable hazardous waste cells)	30,536,535
Total	289,030,565

Recycling Facilities

- 18.5.27. Leicestershire hosts several recycling facilities that have evolved over recent years to enhance waste management and recycling capabilities. **Table 18.20** below provides a summary of the total amount of waste received and removed at facilities within Leicestershire, Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire with the capability of recycling inert C&D waste as per data within the EA's Wastes Received Interrogator and Wastes Removed Interrogator. There is no official data on the remaining capacity of these recycling facilities; where possible Annual Waste Reports ('AWR') for each facility have been reviewed.

Table 18.20: Leicestershire, Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire C&D Recycling Facilities 2023 Data

County Council	Wastes Received in 2023 (tonnes)*	Wastes Removed in 2023 (tonnes)
Leicestershire	671,929	139,293
Derbyshire	536,953	216,477
Nottinghamshire	853,458	178,431
Total	1,390,411	534,201
* This data includes all facilities filtered as follows: • Basic Waste Category: Inert/C&D; • EWC Chapter: 17 - Construction and Demolition Wastes: • Facility Type: ○ Inert Waste Transfer; ○ Inert Waste Transfer/Treatment; ○ Non-hazardous Waste Transfer; ○ Non-hazardous Waste Transfer/Treatment; and ○ Physical Treatment.		

Energy From Waste

- 18.5.28. According to the most current Defra statistics^v, there are 28 permitted Energy-from-Waste (EfW) facilities in England as 2022. These facilities had a total capacity of 8.97 million tonnes

of capacity per year. 87% of the waste used to generate waste in EfW facilities comprises 'Other wastes' (which includes residues following physical treatment and incineration of waste, residues from industrial processes and sewage, sorting residues, health care and biological wastes). However, they can also accept household (or similar) and wood wastes to generate energy through processes like incineration. The preference for waste from EMG2 Project, and its component parts, with the potential to be used at EfW facilities should be to re-use where possible, in accordance with the Waste Hierarchy. Of the nine incineration facilities within the Refined Study Area, there are eight which could potentially receive C&D or C&I waste from EMG2 Project; four of which are dedicated EfW facilities and four of which are co-incineration facilities.

- 18.5.29. As of November 2024, Leicestershire hosts one operational EfW facility: the Newhurst Energy Recovery Facility (approximately 8 miles / 13 kilometres from the EMG2 Project). Located near Shepshed, this facility began full operations in June 2023. It processes up to 455,000 tonnes of residual waste annually, generating approximately 42 megawatts (MW) of electricity—sufficient to power around 80,000 homes.

- 18.5.30. There are three other EfW facilities within the Refined Study Area. The first is the Biomass Power Plant in Widmerpool, Nottingham which is approximately 12.5 miles / 20 km to the east of the EMG2 Project. This plant recycles around 52,000 tonnes of waste wood annually, generating approximately 6.8 megawatts of electricity. The Eastcroft EfW Plant in Nottingham city (approximately 10.9 miles / 17.6 kilometres from the EMG2 Project) has the capacity to process 180,000 tonnes of waste per year and generates approximately 9 MW of electrical energy has the capacity to process. The Drakelow Energy Generation Facility near Burton Upon Trent (approximately 13.6 miles / 21.9 kilometres from the EMG2 Project) has the capacity to process 169,000 tonnes of non-recyclable Refuse Derived Fuel (RDF) each year, generating 18MW of electricity.

- 18.5.31. It is therefore considered that the total capacity of EfW from the three counties is approximately 804,000 tonnes p/a. This excludes the Biomass Power Plant in Widmerpool as the waste accepted is not appropriate to waste produced by EMG2 Project.

Hazardous Waste

- 18.5.32. The management and disposal of hazardous waste is a specialist process and usually would involve some interim treatment processes prior to disposal at landfill. Based on the EA's Remaining Landfill Capacity dataset (2023), there are only seven Hazardous Restricted Landfill sites within England for which information on capacity is available, although none of these sites are within 30 miles of the EMG2 Project. The total capacity across all seven sites in 2023 was 694,790 m³. The nearest site for which data is available is Grange Top Quarry Landfill in Ketton Works, Stamford which is located 35 miles to the south-east of the EMG2 Project. According to the Interrogator, this site currently has no capacity to receive hazardous waste. Of the seven sites, the nearest site that have remaining capacity is located in Kingsbury Road, Sutton Coldfield located 44 km to the south-west of the EMG2 Project, which currently has a capacity of 195,048 m³.

- 18.5.33. According to the EA's 2023 Wastes Received Interrogator, there is a total of 15 hazardous waste facilities that accept C&D waste in Leicestershire, Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire. The nearest of these sites is the Bardon Waste Transfer Station located in Coalville, which

is approximately 8.7 miles / 14km from the EMG2 Project. Across these 15 sites, a total of 46,025 tonnes of waste was received in 2023 and a total of 24,021 tonnes was removed.

- 18.5.34. The calculation within **Table 18.21** shows the void capacity for Hazardous waste sites for 2023 and forecasted for 2025 both at a Regional (i.e. East Midlands) and National level. In all cases the sensitivity is very high.

Table 18.21: Hazardous Waste Landfill Site Sensitivities

Capacity in 2023 (tonnage) ⁶ .	Regional = 2.0 Mt	Nationally = 0.83 Mt
Material received 2023 2023 sensitivity	1M tonnes 1/2.0 x 100% = 50% (Medium)	9.4M tonnes 9.4/12.5 x 100% = 75% (Low)
Projection of material received in 5 Year period (tonnage) 2025 Capacity and sensitivity per annum based on 5-year projection ⁷ 2025 sensitivity	= 5.0 Mt = 0.1M tonnes Insufficient capacity – very high	= 47 Mt = 5.5% (Very High)

- 18.5.35. The availability of hazardous landfill capacity—particularly at the national level—is extremely limited. Based on the volume of hazardous waste managed and disposed of annually, the remaining voidspace for this waste stream is critically low. Both regional and national sensitivities are categorised as 'Very High', indicating a significant constraint to long-term disposal options for hazardous waste without intervention or the provision of additional capacity.

Operational Waste

- 18.5.36. Commercial and industrial (C&I) waste is generated by business and industrial activity and will therefore occur widely within the region with a particular concentration in more urbanised areas. Certain elements of the C&I waste stream, such as mixed ordinary C&I waste, can be very similar to household waste and can often be dealt with through similar treatment and disposal processes. C&I waste can also contain hazardous substances which require management at specialist facilities.
- 18.5.37. Information on C&I waste generation in England is currently provided in the UK Statistics on Waste report^{xxii}. Whilst this report does not provide a regional breakdown of C&I arisings, it estimates that approximately 33.6 million tonnes of C&I waste was generated in England in 2022 with approximately 60% stemming from the commercial sector. Since 2010, the lowest amount of C&I arisings generated in England was 31.7 million tonnes in 2014, whilst the lowest

⁶ Using WRAP Waste Density Conversion Factor

⁷ Assuming similar disposal patterns continue, project forward: National 5-Year Projection = 9.4 Mt/year × 5 = 47 Mt. Regional 5-Year Projection = 1.0 Mt/year × 5 = 5 Mt

amount was 37.2 million tonnes in both 2018 and 2019. C&I waste accounted for 19% of total waste generation in the UK in 2018. A large proportion of C&I waste comprises packaging, of which 64.8% was recycled in the UK in 2023, which was an increase from the 62.4% recycled in 2022.

- 18.5.38. C&I waste is currently collected within the Refined Study Area by a large number of private waste companies. There is also a considerable network of waste facilities that are used to bulk, transfer, treat and dispose of C&I waste.
- 18.5.39. As set out in **Table 18.23** above, EA data shows that there is a general decrease in landfill capacity across most categories within Leicestershire, Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire, with no capacity available for hazardous (restricted) waste in 2022 or 2023. There is a current capacity of 36,868,132 m³ for all landfill types across the three counties in 2023, compared to a total of 38,976,244 m³ in 2022.
- 18.5.40. Details on the capacity of various types of facilities that accept C&I waste within the Refined Study Area are set out in **Table 18.22** below. All figures stated are in tonnes per annum (t/a). It should be noted that data on C&I waste is generally reported on alongside household waste as the waste streams are similar.

Table 18.22: Existing C&I Waste Capacity within the Refined Study Area

Composting	Disposal (not landfill)	Recovery	Recycling	Reuse	Transfer	Anaerobic Digestion
Leicestershire^{ix}						
897	0	51,289	637,994	2,013	97,760	0
Derbyshire^{vi *}						
71,915	0	4,902	145,804	0	381,983	3,784
Nottinghamshire^{xiii}						
80,345	0	0	1,367,501	0	749,958	394,226
Total						
153,157	0	56,191	2,151,299	2,013	1,299,701	428,010
* There is no data available on the current capacity of waste facilities within Derbyshire. Therefore, figures stated are the average of the last three years of data from the EA's Wastes Received database.						

- 18.5.41. Further to the data in **Table 18.22**, according to LCC's Annual Monitoring Report (2024)^{ix} there was further capacity permitted in the 2022-23 AMR period (see Table 5 of 2022-2023 AMR), which amounted to 70,800 tonnes per annum of inert recycling capacity and non-hazardous transfer and bulking capacity respectively.

- 18.5.42. Details of the capacity set out in relation to hazardous, recycling and EfW facilities within the 'Construction Waste' section above is also applicable to operational waste.

Future Baseline

- 18.5.43. In the future baseline with the EMG2 Project built and fully operational, it is considered that the current land use would cease. No significant changes to the future baseline for materials and waste are anticipated in the event that the EMG2 Project does not proceed. Therefore this section of the report focuses on the following:

Materials

- 18.5.44. Projections of future availability of materials within Leicestershire, Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire is set out within **Table 18.23** below. There is no specific future capacity information for recycled and secondary aggregates within these counties.

Table 18.23: Future Capacity of Materials within Leicestershire, Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire

County	Material	Future Capacity	Notes on Potential Additional Capacity
Leicestershire	Sand and Gravel	Estimated permitted reserves of sand and gravel in Leicestershire at the end of 2023 were 2.99 Mt. There is expected be an annual requirement for 1.01 Mt of sand and gravel up to 2031. Therefore, a shortfall of sand and gravel reserves of some 7.67 million tonnes is expected over the same period. ^{viii}	- A planning application (application ref: 2021/0683/03) for the extraction of 900,000 tonnes of sand and gravel at Husbands Bosworth Quarry was granted in January 2023. - There is an application for an extensions to Cliffe Hill Quarry (planning ref.: 2022/EIA/0100/LCC), which would release 30 Mt of reserves. - A total of 3.3Mt of sand and gravel at Lockington (planning ref.: 2019/CM/0244/LCC) which has recently been approved subject to a Section 106 agreement, as resolved in a Development Control and Regulatory Board meeting in April 2025, and 1.01Mt at One Ash Quarry (planning ref.: 2021/EIA/0158/LCC) which is currently awaiting determination. - There are a number of allocations at Cadeby Quarry and Shawell Quarry which remain without planning permission currently.^{viii}

County	Material	Future Capacity	Notes on Potential Additional Capacity
	Crushed Rock	There is expected be an annual requirement for 12.63 Mt of crushed rock up to 2031. The current level of permitted reserves (286.03) is sufficient to maintain a landbank of 10 years throughout the period to 2031, with a surplus of 184.99 million tonnes. ^{viii}	It is understood that the operators of Mountsorrel Quarry are likely to submit a planning application for the extension of northern and south-eastern areas but this application has not been submitted at the time of writing. If an application is submitted and successful, it would lead to increased production of crushed rock within Leicestershire.
	Secondary and Recycled Aggregate	No data available but existing recycling capacity for C&D waste in Leicestershire is estimated to be around 1.26 million tonnes per annum. ^{viii}	No information available.
Derbyshire	Sand and Gravel	It is anticipated that approximately 1 Mt of sand and gravel will be produced per year up to 2038. ^x	The Derbyshire and Derby Minerals Local Plan includes policies that support the allocation of new sites and allow for proposals outside allocated areas if necessary.
	Crushed Rock	It is anticipated that approximately 13.88 Mt of crushed rock will be produced per year up to 2038, which is deemed sufficient to last around 38 years at current average production rates. ^x	The existing permitted reserves are considered adequate to meet the forecasted demand. However, the plan allows for extensions to existing sites and the development of new sites if required to maintain supply.
	Secondary and Recycled Aggregate	It is estimated that from 2024 to 2038, Derby and Derbyshire will produce around 3 Mt of recycled aggregate	The Minerals Local Plan includes policies that support the production of recycled and secondary aggregates in appropriate locations. It also emphasizes the safeguarding of existing facilities and encourages the development of new ones to maximise the use of these materials.
Nottinghamshire	Sand and Gravel	There is an estimated sand and gravel shortfall of 11.8 Mt over the Nottinghamshire County Council (NCC) Minerals Local Plan period up to 2036. ^{xii}	The Minerals Local Plan identifies a need for an additional 17.8 million tonnes of sand and gravel over the plan period to 2036. This shortfall is expected to be met through allocations and proposals for new extraction sites. One such proposal is the Barton in Fabis site, which

County	Material	Future Capacity	Notes on Potential Additional Capacity
			aims to extract approximately 2.5 million tonnes of sand and gravel.
	Crushed Rock	Nether Langwith quarry has planning permission until 2035 at a planned output of 250,000 tonnes of crushed rock per annum. ^{xii}	Given the substantial existing reserves and low annual production, no immediate additional capacity is planned. The county relies on imports from neighbouring regions, such as Derbyshire and Leicestershire, to meet its crushed rock needs.
	Secondary and Recycled Aggregate	No data available	The Minerals Local Plan supports the use of recycled and secondary aggregates to reduce reliance on primary materials. However, specific targets or planned facilities are not detailed in the available documents.

- 18.5.45. The table above shows that there is likely to be a shortfall of sand and gravel production in Leicestershire and Nottinghamshire during the construction phase of the EMG2 Project. However, there are numerous sites which are awaiting determination on their respective planning applications in Leicestershire, as well as the recent planning permission, subject to the meeting of s106 obligations, for a total of 3.3Mt of sand and gravel at Lockington and land allocations for quarries in Nottinghamshire, which have the potential to alleviate the shortfall. It is expected that Derbyshire will produce 1 Mt of sand and gravel per annum up to 2038.
- 18.5.46. Both Leicestershire and Derbyshire have the resources to exceed their needs for crushed rock over the duration of the construction phase of EMG2 Project. Nottinghamshire is likely to produce less crushed rock but is still expected to produce 0.25 Mt per annum up to 2035.
- 18.5.47. Data on the future capacity of secondary and recycled aggregate is only available for Derbyshire, where it is expected that a total of 3 Mt of recycled aggregate will be produced up to 2038.

Potential Impacts

- 18.5.48. This section details the assessment of impacts and effects for the DCO Scheme during both the construction and operation phases having taken account of the embedded design measures.

Embedded Mitigation Measures

- 18.5.49. This section sets out the embedded design, mitigation and enhancement measures which are relevant to the materials and waste assessment for the construction phase and operation phases of the DCO Scheme. The primary mitigation measures that have been incorporated as embedded as part of DCO Scheme are as set out in the submitted Parameters Plan

(**Document DCO 2.5**), together with the principles of design as set out within Design Approach Document (**Document DCO 5.3**).

- 18.5.50. Given the current topography of the EMG2 Works, a cut and fill strategy is required to produce suitable development plateaus.
- 18.5.51. A proposed Cut and Fill plan for the EMG2 Works has been prepared (**Appendix 14M Figure 5 (Document DCO 6.14M)**). This plan notes that it is based on a comparison of existing ground levels against the proposed earthworks levels. The assessment included topsoil material. Overall, it is noted that the majority of excavated material (non-organic) will be reused on-site and that there will be an approximate deficit of 17,000m³, which is considered to be well within the tolerance for when major earthworks can be deemed to provide a balanced cut and fill exercise. For the purpose of this assessment, only if excavated material is not required, or is unsuitable for the development or specified receiver sites, it would become waste. Therefore careful consideration that has been given to the earthworks proposals, including the positioning and heights of perimeter 'mitigation mounding' for the EMG2 Works.
- 18.5.52. All of these aspects and features have been taken into account in the design of the DCO Scheme and the development parameters (**Document DCO 2.5**) and have therefore been assessed as part of the construction and operational stages, as detailed below.
- 18.5.53. The assessment of the suitability of soils excavated onsite for re-use onsite is outside the scope of this ES Chapter, the assessment of material quality is covered in **Chapter 14: Ground Conditions (Document DCO 6.14)** and **Chapter 15: Agriculture and Soils (Document DCO 6.15)**. Materials extracted and processed offsite are outside the scope of this assessment.

Construction Effects

- 18.5.54. The likely significant effects for materials and waste associated with the construction phase are set out below.
- 18.5.55. The potential impacts associated with material consumption and waste generation and disposal during construction are summarised in **Table 18.24** below.

Table 18.24: Construction Material and Waste Impacts

Element	Direct Impacts	Indirect Impacts
Materials	Consumption of natural and non-renewable resources	- release of greenhouse gas emissions (through transportation); - water consumption; - visual impacts, noise, vibration and other nuisance issues; and - human health.

Element	Direct Impacts	Indirect Impacts
Waste	Reduction in landfill capacity	• release of greenhouse gas emissions (through transportation and management); • ecological impacts; and • visual impacts, noise vibration and other nuisance issues.

Consumption of Materials

18.5.56. Key construction materials estimated to be required are presented in **Table 18.25** below. The information provided describes the material type, estimated quantity and any available information relating to the use of the material in the construction of the following elements of the DCO Scheme:

- Buildings;
- Internal Roads, HGV and car parking, active travel works etc.;
- Drainage infrastructure;
- Highways Works; and
- Bridge.

Table 18.25: Construction Material Estimations for the DCO Scheme

Material Assets	Quantity for DCO Scheme (Tonnes)	Use of Material in DCO Scheme
Steel	20,106	Used in concrete reinforcement, sheet piling and drainage equipment.
Concrete	309,938	This includes reinforced concrete for structures, foundations, piling and pre- cast concrete for drainage.
Asphalt Concrete	165,229	Used for the construction of roads.
Stone Mastic Asphalt	16,438	Used as the surface material in the construction of roads.
TCSC Asphalt	643	Used as the surface material in the construction of carparks, roads and pathways.
Aggregates	221,245	Used as material components in concrete and asphalt concrete
Insulation	3,473	Used to insulate buildings to improve energy efficiency.

Material Assets	Quantity for DCO Scheme (Tonnes)	Use of Material in DCO Scheme
Reinforcing Bar (Rebar)	4,243	Used to reinforcement concrete.
Aluminum	373	Used for a variety of purposes, including structural components, enclosure, roof panels, shelving, and equipment for automated systems.
Glazing	216	Used to allow natural light and views while also providing a barrier against the elements.
Membranes	63	Used for protection and moisture management
Raised Access Floor	169	Used to hide and protect utilities while allowing easy access for maintenance and upgrades.
Paint	71	Used as a decorative enhancement and protective barrier.
Glass Fiber Reinforced Polymer	109	Used to reinforce concrete structures.
Plasterboarding	972	Used for lining interior walls and ceilings in buildings.
Tiles	98	Used for covering surfaces like floors and walls.
Timber	34	Used for decking and shelving.
Coatings	145	Used for protecting concrete floors.
Screed	353	Used to create a smooth, level surface for flooring.
Vinyl	6	Used for flooring and signage/labels
Cladding	3	Used for providing insulation, enhancing aesthetics, and protecting the building's structure from the elements.
Blockwork	60	Used to build internal partition walls and retaining walls.
Carpet	44	Used to cover floors.
Earthworks (imported material)	28,900*	Engineered fill material for ground raising and topsoil.
* This figure assumes a soil weight of 1.7 tonnes per cubic meter		

18.5.57. Further to the quantity of the materials in tonnes set out in the table above, the following materials will also be used for which the exact tonnage is unavailable at the time of writing:

- 3.13 km of HDPE Pipe;
- 1.2 km of cable protection pipe;
- 1.2 km of data transmission cable;
- 25,675 m² of permeable paving;
- 14,530 m³ of geocellular crates for tank storage;
- 90,070 m² of geocellular lining for tank storage, permeable paving and detention basin lining;
- 10 No. flow controls; and
- 15 No. manhole chambers.

18.5.58. The specification of materials is anticipated to be confirmed prior to the commencement of the construction of the DCO Scheme. Using professional judgement to apply the criteria set out in **Table 18.25** above, the sensitivity of material resources is therefore considered medium.

18.5.59. Where data are available, as reported in the Baseline Conditions section of this Chapter, the percentage of material resource consumption for the DCO Scheme has been calculated and presented in **Table 18.26** below. This is based on current data rather than future trends.

Table 18.26: Percentage of Material Resource Consumption (DCO)

Material	Production/Sale Data for the Region* (Million Tonnes)	DCO Scheme Requirements (Tonnes)	Percentage of Available Resource Consumed by DCO Scheme (%)
Primary aggregate	26.02	221,245	0.85
Ready-mix concrete	12.3*	309,938	2.52
Asphalt	1.2	182,310	15.19
Steel	5.6*	20,106	0.36
*nationally where regional data unavailable.			

18.5.60. Based on the data set out in **Table 18.26** above using professional judgement and considering the nature and scale of the DCO Scheme, the magnitude for material resources consumption is considered minor as one or more materials (primary aggregate and ready-mix concrete) is between 1-5% by volume of the regional baseline availability.

18.5.61. Based on the data set out in **Table 18.26**, the significance of effect for material resource consumption is therefore currently considered to be Minor (Not Significant) with respect to

all materials with the exception of Asphalt. Whilst the impact of Asphalt is considered Major (and therefore significant) it should be noted that figures relating to asphalt availability are only available for Leicestershire, as no equivalent data has been published for Nottinghamshire or Derbyshire⁸. However, given the presence of operational asphalt plants and aggregate resources within both Counties, it is anticipated that sufficient supply (i.e. less than 10% of total stocks) is available locally. As such, the total impact on material availability is likely to be reduced and is considered Minor (Not Significant) in the context regional capacity in the Refined Study Area.

Waste

Demolition Waste

- 18.5.62. The DCO Scheme involves minimal demolition, limited to possible removal of some overhead gantries as part of the Highways Works.
- 18.5.63. The volumes of non-hazardous waste from such works are considered to be relatively low in comparison to the regional capacity. It is expected that a high proportion of the material generated would be recyclable and not go to landfill. The significance of the non-Hazardous/inert waste is considered to be negligible (not significant).

Earthworks

- 18.5.64. It is anticipated that a balanced cut and fill exercise will be achieved.
- 18.5.65. Material quality would be assessed to ensure material is placed in a suitable location on-site, such as within the mitigation mounding, minimising the requirement to dispose of excavated material. With off-site disposal volumes expected to be minimal (less than 1% of the regional capacity), the magnitude of impact for earthwork material being disposed of to landfill as non-hazardous or inert waste is assessed as negligible (not significant).
- 18.5.66. There are no known contamination sources that would cause the ground to be impacted to levels that could classify soils as hazardous waste and therefore the magnitude of impact from hazardous waste from the earthworks is no change (not significant).
- 18.5.67. Further details on the ground conditions are included in **Chapter 14: Ground Conditions (Document DCO 6.14)**. This includes an assessment of the materials suitability for reuse of soils and aggregates.

Construction Waste

- 18.5.68. Waste produced from the construction of buildings within the DCO Scheme is displayed in **Table 18.27** below. This figure has been calculated using Smart Waste BRE Waste Benchmark Data^{xxiii} and assumes the buildings to be constructed are industrial buildings, producing an average quantity of 12.6 tonnes of construction waste per 100 m². This data

⁸ Local Aggregates Assessments ('LAA') for each of the counties focus exclusively on primary aggregates such as sand, gravel, sandstone and limestone.

provides an estimate of waste produced during the construction phase only and does not include demolition, excavation, or groundworks waste.

Table 18.27: Construction Waste Summary

Total Floorspace of New Buildings (m ²) (excluding mezzanines)	Total Building Construction Waste (tonnes)
300,000	37,800

18.5.69. On the assumption that the recycling rates would be a minimum of 90% to meet national performance, with the remaining 10% sent to landfill, the total amount of construction waste to be recycled is 34,020 tonnes with the remaining 3,780 tonnes to be sent to landfill.

18.5.70. Waste produced from the construction of roads and paved areas within the DCO Scheme has been calculated based on an assumed average wastage rate of 3% of total material use and assuming surface and road base thickness of 0.5m. The anticipated waste volume is displayed in **Table 18.28** below.

Table 18.28: Road and Paved Areas Construction Waste

Area of roads and hardstanding (m ²)	Volume of material (m ³)	Estimated construction waste (tonnes)
107,400 m ²	53,700 m ³	1,611 tonnes

18.5.71. Assuming a recycling rate of 90% and the remaining 10% being sent to landfill, 1,450 tonnes will be recycled and 161 tonnes is to be sent to landfill.

18.5.72. The magnitude of impact from the total quantity of construction waste (calculated using **Tables 18.27** and **18.28**) is considered to be negligible, having regard to available capacity. The impact from construction waste is therefore considered to be not significant.

18.5.73. A summary of anticipated construction impacts for both materials use and waste, and their likely effects, is presented in **Table 18.29** below.

Table 18.29: Construction Materials and Waste Impacts and Effects

Activity	Activities with Potential impacts on material resource / waste	Sensitivity of Receptor	Description of Magnitude	Significance
Demolition	Demolition of any existing built structures	Low	Negligible	Negligible
Site preparation earthworks	Excavation and filling using site won materials, disposal of unsuitable material	Very High	Negligible	Negligible

Activity	Activities with Potential impacts on material resource / waste	Sensitivity of Receptor	Description of Magnitude	Significance
Construction	Use of quarried aggregate for construction (Concrete, sub-base, road surfacing)	Medium	Minor	Negligible
Construction	Generation of construction waste	Low	Negligible	Negligible

Transportation of Waste

- 18.5.74. The movement of waste would be undertaken by road. The extent of the impacts would be proportional to the waste generated and any reduction in waste would reduce the impacts on the road network. During construction works the reuse of material on-site would reduce waste movements. The impacts on traffic (**Chapter 6: Traffic and Transportation (Document DCO 6.6)**), noise (**Chapter 7: Noise (Document DCO 6.7)**), air quality (**Chapter 8: Air Quality (Document DCO 6.8)**) and climate change (**Chapter 19: Climate Change (Document DCO 6.19)**) are assessed elsewhere in this ES. Any improvement in waste reduction would therefore directly reduce the transportation impact. A worst-case scenario for waste generation and movement has been assessed within this ES, meaning that there is no realistic prospect of impacts being greater than reported. The actual position is therefore expected to be the same or better than that assessed.

Operational Effects

- 18.5.75. The likely significant effects for materials and waste associated with the operational phase of the DCO Scheme are summarised in this section.
- 18.5.76. It is assumed that operational waste will comprise standard bi-products associated with warehouse and non-specialised industrial operations. These wastes can generally be grouped into categories based on their source and material composition. Below is an outline of standard wastes associated with warehousing operations:
- Packaging - plastics, cardboard, wood, metal strapping and synthetic polymers such as polystyrene);
 - General Waste - includes non-recyclable items like food wrappers, office waste, or small quantities of miscellaneous items;
 - Damaged or Unsellable Goods - products that cannot be resold or reused due to damage or expiration;
 - Hazardous Waste – batteries, Electrical and Electronic Equipment (WEEE) including outdated or broken machinery, lighting fixtures, or IT equipment, cleaning products and paints, oils / lubricants and solvents used in maintenance; and

- Organic Waste – such as food waste and compostable materials such as biodegradable packaging.

Waste Generation

18.5.77. **Table 18.30** below estimates the likely C&I waste generation from the operations buildings for the DCO Scheme. These estimates are based upon floor area and appropriate benchmark metrics as outline in Section 18.2. In accordance with relevant British Standards and industry guidance (e.g. BS 5906 and BS EN 15978), waste calculations are typically based on the gross or net internal floor area associated with primary functional spaces. Mezzanine levels are often excluded from these calculations where they are ancillary in nature, not fully enclosed, non-permanent, or do not materially affect occupancy or the intensity of use. Their use as such is proposed to be controlled by DCO Requirement. As such, the mezzanine floor has not been included within the baseline waste estimates for this development.

Table 18.30: EMG2 Works Building Operation C&I Waste Generation Estimations

Description	Indicative Gross Internal Area (GIA) (m ²) (excluding mezzanine]	Weekly General Waste Arisings (Tonnes)	Annual General Waste Arisings (Tonnes)	Weekly Recycling Waste Arisings (Tonnes)*	Annual Recycling Waste Arisings (Tonnes)
Ancillary Offices (20%)	60,000	1,500	78,000	1,050	54,600
Warehouse/ Industrial Unit (80%)	240,000	600	27,733	472.5	19,413
Total	300,000	2,100	105,733	1,522.5	74,013
<i>*recovery targeted at 70%</i>					

Waste Disposal

- 18.5.78. Based upon the anticipated operational waste arisings outlined in this chapter and taking into consideration the receptors of energy from waste facilities and landfill facilities, **Table 18.31** below shows the magnitude and sensitivity that the operational wastes would have on waste infrastructure in the Refined Study Area.
- 18.5.79. As per IEMA guidance, the assessment considers the percentage depletion of remaining landfill capacity or remaining permitted capacity in other final management capacity (energy from waste facilities). The assessment includes use of landfill, and energy from waste, for general wastes simply to demonstrate the negligible impact upon either option given the small volumes of general wastes.

Table 18.31: Operational Wastes Magnitude and Sensitivity

Operational Waste	Assumed Waste Fate	Available Capacity (%)	Sensitivity (%)	Magnitude
General waste	Energy from Waste	804,000 tonnes per annum	<5% Medium	Minor
	Recycling centres	>2,910,000 tonnes per annum	<5% Medium	Minor
	Non- hazardous landfill (70% diversion per annum)	17,122,865 tonnes*	<0.1% Low	Negligible
*conversion density considered 5kg/l				

- 18.5.80. Based upon the magnitude of impact, and sensitivity of receptors, the operational wastes from the DCO Scheme will result in a Negligible effect (Not Significant) upon landfill capacity and a Minor Adverse (Not Significant) effect upon recovery facilities within the Refined Study Area.

Materials

- 18.5.81. Material use is not included within the assessment of operational circumstances, as all significant material consumption is associated with the construction phase of the DCO Scheme. Once operational, the scheme is not expected to involve manufacturing activities that are material-intensive, given the focus on advanced manufacturing industry. In the event that any material-intensive manufacturing does occur, it is not anticipated that such processes would involve the use of construction materials. Therefore, materials consumption is not considered relevant to the operational phase.

Mitigation Measures

- 18.5.82. This section sets out the additional mitigation and enhancement measures, over and above the embedded mitigation set out earlier in this section, for the DCO Scheme which are relevant to the materials and waste assessment for the construction phase and operation phases.

Additional Mitigation

Construction

- 18.5.83. Measures would be implemented to collectively mitigate the impacts identified from both the use of materials and the management of waste in relation to the DCO Scheme. There is significant synergy between materials re-use and the avoidance of the generation of waste, and therefore there is a substantial overlap between the mitigation measures for materials and waste.

- 18.5.84. The importance of careful management of materials to promote re-use and waste reduction has been widely recognised by the construction industry. Both legislation and voluntary best practice mechanisms have been developed and implemented. These provide measurable and accountable processes and provide the basis for mitigating environmental effects associated with materials and waste.
- 18.5.85. The principal mitigation measure relating to this topic is the implementation of the Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP), which has been submitted and provided as **Appendix 3A (Document DCO 6.3A)**. Phase specific construction environmental management plan (P-CEMP) will be drafted in accordance with the principles set out in the CEMP and submitted as per draft DCO Requirement 11. The CEMP includes the following:
- details of the approach to environmental management throughout the construction phase, with the primary aim of mitigating any adverse impacts from construction activity on the identified sensitive receptors;
 - methods for the prevention and control of any potential short-term construction phase impacts (e.g., construction dust, and the risk of accidental spillages of contaminating materials) and also permanent impacts (e.g., disturbance to vegetation, archaeology and heritage);
 - good materials management methods, such as location of temporary haul routes and re-use of temporary works materials from haul routes, plant and piling mats etc; and
 - risk/impact-specific method statements and strategic details of how relevant environmental impacts would be addressed throughout the DCO Scheme.
- 18.5.86. Although not required by the regulations, a Site Waste and Materials Management Plan (SWMMP) will be regularly updated during the lifetime of the DCO Scheme. The SWMMP is provided as **Appendix 18E (Document DCO 6.18E)** and identifies:
- the types and likely quantities of construction, demolition and excavation (CD&E) wastes that may be generated as a result of the proposed development;
 - relevant reuse, recycling and landfill diversion targets applicable to the proposed development; and
 - a review of the waste management measures and procedures to be implemented on-site during construction in line with relevant legislation, guidance and best practice. These measures would set out how the CD&E wastes would be reduced, reused, managed and disposed of.
- 18.5.87. The SWMMP (**Document DCO 6.18E**) outlines the suitability of material for re-use on-site and off-site in respect to structural and contamination status.
- 18.5.88. Topsoil strip volumes can be minimised by measurement of organic content of soils with depth, so there is a scientific definition of the interface between topsoil and subsoil rather than a borehole log visual interpretation. This will minimise the volume stripped. Cut and fill of subsoils will then take place to form the earthworks plateaus and the required levels for formation of the infrastructure, which will be designed to balance.

- 18.5.89. The topsoil removed will first be used in the following hierarchy:
- Topsoil will be set aside for re-use in on-site landscaping requirements (used in permanent works)
 - Topsoil will be used to create the various noise / visual bunds (used in permanent works)
 - Topsoil will be placed back on plots for future development to protect the formation until they are ready to come forward (used in temporary works)
 - Topsoil may be used to create surcharge loading if geotechnical conditions require ground improvement (pre-loading technique) (this will be a temporary use)
 - Residual topsoil following all these demands being met will be stockpiled for storage for long term duration of the development.
- 18.5.90. During the extended development programme, topsoil will be advertised for sale for use in agricultural or biodiversity uses or to meet the needs of developments in the region.
- 18.5.91. As each plot comes forward and topsoil is released from temporary uses, the topsoil recovered can be added to storage, then sold down over a period of time until the next plot is stripped and adds new topsoil to the quantity stored.
- 18.5.92. Topsoil quantities can be managed through the construction phase of the whole development by additional techniques introduced into the strategy to manage volume by creating additional uses:
- Chemical treatment and / or mixing with subsoils to reduce the organic content and enable treated topsoil to be used in the general earthwork
 - Overdig of non-sensitive areas (balancing ponds, landscaping areas etc that are not vulnerable to settlement) to create borrow pits to swap usable subsoil material for non-treated topsoil material, again, to be used in the general earthwork
- 18.5.93. When the development gets to the final plots there is likely to be a small quantity of residual topsoil that needs to be disposed of quickly to facilitate plot construction. This small fraction may be destined for landfill if a suitable home cannot be found at the right time.
- 18.5.94. The SWMMP (**Document DCO 6.18E**) will:
- demonstrate the quantity of material to be reused on-site;
 - identify the origin of the material to be used on-site, and/or identify the receiver site for surplus material; and
 - demonstrate that the material is suitable for reuse and there would be no risk to either human health or the environment by reusing the material either on-site or on the receiver site.
- 18.5.95. Implementation of the SWMMP (**Document DCO 6.18E**) will ensure that material reuse is maximised by minimising waste at source (reducing the requirement for new construction materials) and during construction. For example, this could include screening, crushing, and

recycling of demolition materials on-site, or the use of in-situ recycling of tar bound bituminous materials. Further, the SWMMP allows for imported material to come from donor sites as waste material or material for reuse.

- 18.5.96. The assumption in this assessment is that all material from the cut and fill exercise to develop a development plateau would be suitable for reuse on-site. The SWMMP controls the quantity of this excavated material classified as waste and this may require the material to be managed in accordance with the Definition of Waste: Development Industry Code of Practice (CL:AIRE, 2011).
- 18.5.97. The reuse of site won materials would be subject to conformance with material specification and assessment criteria to ensure suitability for use. Any materials that do not initially comply to suitable for use criteria would be treated or processed until suitable for reuse.

Storage of materials and waste

- 18.5.98. Measures to control the management and temporary storage of materials and waste during construction are detailed within the CEMP (**Document DCO 6.3A**).
- 18.5.99. It is anticipated that waste would be separated at source where practical, with storage areas laid out to facilitate the segregation of waste material to encourage reuse and recycling; for example, by using colour coded skips. Signage should be used to clearly identify the material to be stored in each area and the site set up should be continuously reviewed and modified where necessary to maximise the opportunity for reuse and recycling.
- 18.5.100. It is expected that temporary storage areas would be provided with the capacity to store excavated material required for reuse on-site. Best practice guidance recommends that topsoil should not be stored at heights greater than 3m. The area to be used for stockpiling topsoil should be sized appropriately so that the height of the pile does not need to extend above 3m.

Operational Phase

- 18.5.101. The assessment has concluded that the effects of material consumption and waste generation during the operational phase are not significant. However, best practice design and operation measures to minimise impacts are considered and the occupiers will operate the DCO Scheme using existing on-site waste prevention, minimisation and management processes and procedures to drive good practice behaviour and contracts, to maximise action in the highest tiers of the Waste Hierarchy and adherence to the proximity principle. Circular Economy practices will be identified and considered to design out wastes, reduce wastes and to divert materials from landfill, into other productive uses.
- 18.5.102. Examples of mitigation measures that will be considered to reduce operational materials and operational waste may include the following:
- Operators will engage early with Contractor(s) to identify opportunities to move wastes up the hierarchy through, for example, valorising of municipal and industrial wastes into new and valuable materials using collaboration and regional synergies;

- Exploring opportunities to move the treatment of hazardous wastes up the hierarchy from landfill to recovery or recycling once compositions and tonnages are known. For example, this ES has modelled the significance of impacts of operational wastes by considering the treatment of materials within energy from waste recovery plants or landfill sites. Once the composition and tonnages are better known, the Applicant will explore opportunities to move these wastes up the hierarchy using alternative recovery, valorisation or recycling methods;
- Ensuring that consumables and other materials include a high level of recycled and secondary content where technically and economically feasible;
- Careful estimation and ordering of the operational material needed on-site at any given time to minimise the likelihood of surplus materials. This will also reduce the risk of material being stored on-site for long periods of time, with a risk of damage or decay;
- Source reusable leased plant, assets and other aspects for temporary periods which can then be returned to the supplier for reuse, rather than to procure new components which then have to be sold, recycled or disposed when no longer required;
- The Applicant will engage with suppliers to identify opportunities to procure materials and supplies that afford higher sustainability performances than typical industry standards;
- The Applicant will engage with suppliers to ensure that, where feasible, procurement agreements include takeback schemes wherein suppliers are obliged to take back any packaging as well as surplus or spent materials; and
- The Applicant will engage with local third parties, such as educational establishments, to divert suitable waste materials into use as supplies for local projects or into use within local college courses. This will move wastes up the hierarchy from recycling to reuse.

Management of hazardous waste

- 18.5.103. It is not expected that any significant quantity of hazardous waste would be produced during the operational phase. Although there would be oily rags and other light plant maintenance wastes that would be hazardous. Any hazardous waste produced during the operational phase would be segregated and stored securely before being disposed of by an approved and appropriately licensed hazardous waste contractor, in accordance with the Hazardous Waste Regulations (as amended 2015) and the associated Hazardous Waste Classification Guidance (2015).

Residual Effects

- 18.5.104. Receptors which were assessed with potential to be significantly impacted during the construction phase have been reassessed with the additional mitigation measures detailed above in place. Careful management of material from the earthworks can avoid material that is not suitable to be reused on-site being sent to landfill. Material designated for an alternative use such as surplus topsoil can be sent to donor sites without classifying the material as waste. In addition, material treated or processed and then reused on-site would

reduce what is required for disposal. It is reasonable to assume, that if the material unsuitable for reuse cannot be used on-site then as part of the mitigation in the SWMMP the material is more likely to be managed in a Waste Transfer Station than sent to landfill. A small proportion of any earthwork material sent to a waste transfer station would be sent to landfill reducing the impact to a negligible significance. This summary concludes that the DCO Scheme would not give rise to any significant residual effects.

18.6. Assessment of MCO Application

- 18.6.1. As set out in Section 1 of this Chapter, and at **Table 18.1**, the MCO Scheme comprises of the EMG1 Works which in summary provide for additional warehousing development within Plot 16 of the EMG1 site together with works to increase the permitted height of the cranes at the EMG1 rail-freight terminal, improvements to the public transport interchange, site management building and the EMG1 Pedestrian Crossing.

Baseline Conditions

- 18.6.2. Please see Paragraphs 18.5.1 – 18.5.47 for details in regards to the baseline conditions. That section of this Chapter is common to both the MCO Scheme and the DCO Scheme and therefore is not duplicated here.

Potential Impacts

- 18.6.3. This section details the assessment of impacts and effects for the MCO Scheme, which as set out in **Table 18.1** comprises of the EMG1 Works, during both the construction and operation phases having taken account of the embedded design measures.

Embedded Mitigation Measures

- 18.6.4. This section sets out the embedded design, mitigation and enhancement measures which are relevant to the materials and waste assessment for the construction phase and operation phases for the MCO Scheme. In the context of the assessment of effects, the primary mitigation measures have been incorporated as embedded as part of the MCO Scheme as set out in the submitted Parameters Plan (**Document MCO 2.2**).
- 18.6.5. Given the topography of the MCO Scheme in relation to Plot 16, a cut and fill strategy is required to produce Plot 16.
- 18.6.6. A Cut and Fill Plan for the MCO Scheme has been undertaken for Plot 16 (**Document MCO 6.14M**). This assessment determined that there will be an approximate deficit of 37,382m³, which is not considered to provide a balanced cut and fill exercise. However, there is flexibility to reduce this deficit.
- 18.6.7. This has been taken into account in the design of the MCO Scheme and the development parameters which have therefore been assessed as part of the construction and operational stages, as detailed below.
- 18.6.8. The assessment of the suitability of soils excavated onsite for re-use onsite is outside the scope of this ES Chapter, the assessment of material quality is covered in **Chapter 14: Ground Conditions (Document MCO 6.14)** and **Chapter 15: Agriculture and Soils (Document MCO 6.15)**. Materials extracted and processed offsite are outside the scope of this assessment.

Construction Effects

- 18.6.9. The likely significant effects for materials and waste associated with the construction phase are set out below.
- 18.6.10. The potential impacts associated with material consumption and waste generation and disposal during construction are summarised in **Table 18.32** below.

Table 18.32: Construction Material and Waste Impacts

Element	Direct Impacts	Indirect Impacts
Materials	Consumption of natural and non-renewable resources.	- release of greenhouse gas emissions (through transportation); - water consumption; - visual impacts, noise, vibration and other nuisance issues; and - human health.
Waste	Reduction in landfill capacity.	- release of greenhouse gas emissions (through transportation and management); - ecological impacts; and - visual impacts, noise vibration and other nuisance issues.

- 18.6.11. Key construction materials estimated to be required are presented in **Table 18.33** below. The information provided describes the material type, estimated quantity and any available information relating to the use of the material in the construction of all of the components of the MCO Scheme.

Table 18.33: Construction Material Estimations for the MCO Application

Material Assets	Quantity for MCO Scheme (Tonnes)	Use of Material in MCO Scheme
Steel	1,822	Used in concrete reinforcement, sheet piling and drainage equipment.
Concrete	28,773	This includes reinforced concrete for structures, foundations, piling and pre- cast concrete for drainage.
Asphalt Concrete	15,206	Used for the construction of roads.
Stone Mastic Asphalt	1,519	Used as the surface material in the construction of roads.
Aggregates	21,822	Used as material components in concrete and asphalt concrete
Insulation	331	Used to insulate buildings to improve

Material Assets	Quantity for MCO Scheme (Tonnes)	Use of Material in MCO Scheme
		energy efficiency.
Reinforcing Bar (Rebar)	385	Used to reinforcement concrete.
Aluminium	33	Used for a variety of purposes, including structural components, enclosure, roof panels, shelving, and equipment for automated systems.
Glazing	17	Used to allow natural light and views while also providing a barrier against the elements.
Membranes	6	Used for protection and moisture management
Raised Access Floor	15	Used to hide and protect utilities while allowing easy access for maintenance and upgrades.
Paint	6	Used as a decorative enhancement and protective barrier.
Glass Fiber Reinforced Polymer	10	Used to reinforce concrete structures.
Plasterboarding	86	Used for lining interior walls and ceilings in buildings.
Tiles	9	Used for covering surfaces like floors and walls.
Timber	3	Used for decking and shelving.
Coatings	13	Used for protecting concrete floors.
Screed	31	Used to create a smooth, level surface for flooring.
Blockwork	5	Used to build internal partition walls and retaining walls.
Vinyl	1	Used for flooring and signage/labels.
Carpet	4	Used to cover floors.
Earthworks (imported material)	63,549*	Engineered fill material for ground raising and topsoil.
* This figure assumes a soil weight of 1.7 tonnes per cubic meter		

18.6.12. Further to the quantity of the materials in tonnes set out in the table above, the following materials will also be used for which the exact tonnage is unavailable at the time of writing:

- 1.43 km of HDPE Pipe;
- 2,445 m² of permeable paving;
- 4,255 m³ of geocellular crates for tank storage;
- 13,340 m² of geocellular lining for tank storage, permeable paving and detention basin lining;
- 2 No. flow controls; and
- 14 No. manhole chambers.

18.6.13. The specification of materials is anticipated to be confirmed prior to the commencement of the construction of the MCO Scheme. Using professional judgement to apply the criteria set out in **Table 18.8**, the sensitivity of material resources is therefore considered medium.

18.6.14. Where data is available, as reported in the Baseline Conditions section of this Chapter, the percentage of material resource consumption for the MCO Scheme has been calculated and presented in **Table 18.34** below. This is based on current data rather than future trends.

Table 18.34: Percentage of Material Resource Consumption (MCO)

Material	Production/Sale Data for the Region* (Million Tonnes)	MCO Scheme Application Requirements (Tonnes)	Percentage of Available Resource Consumed by MCO Scheme (%)
Primary aggregate	26.02	21,822	0.08
Ready-mix concrete	12.3*	28,773	0.23
Asphalt	1.2	16,725	1.39
Steel	5.6*	1,822	0.03
* nationally where regional data unavailable.			

18.6.15. Based on the criteria set out in **Table 18.7** using professional judgement and considering the nature and scale of the MCO Scheme, the magnitude for material resources consumption is considered negligible as one or more materials (primary aggregate and ready-mix concrete) is less than 1% by volume of the regional baseline availability.

18.6.16. Based on the criteria set out in **Table 18.29**, the significance of effect for material resource consumption is therefore currently considered to be Negligible (Not Significant).

Waste

Demolition Waste

- 18.6.17. There will be no demolition required for the MCO Scheme, therefore it will not be considered further.

Earthworks

- 18.6.18. It is currently anticipated that a balanced cut and fill exercise will not be achieved and that soil will need to be imported for the MCO Scheme. However, there is flexibility in earthworks movements and the amount of imported soil may be reduced from what is currently anticipated.
- 18.6.19. Material quality would be assessed to ensure material is placed in a suitable location on-site, such as within the mitigation mounding, minimising the requirement to dispose of excavated material. With off-site disposal volumes expected to be minimal (less than 1% of the regional capacity), the magnitude of impact for earthwork material being disposed of to landfill as non-hazardous or inert waste is assessed as negligible (not significant).
- 18.6.20. There are no known contamination sources that would cause the ground to be impacted to levels that could classify soils as hazardous waste and therefore the magnitude of impact from hazardous waste from the earthworks is no change (not significant).
- 18.6.21. Further details on the ground conditions are included in **Chapter 14: Ground Conditions (Document MCO 6.14)**. This includes an assessment of the materials suitability for reuse of soils and aggregates.

Construction Waste

- 18.6.22. Waste produced from the construction of buildings within the MCO Scheme is displayed in **Table 18.35** below. This figure has been calculated using Smart Waste BRE Waste Benchmark Data^{xv} and assumes the buildings to be constructed are industrial buildings, producing an average quantity of 12.6 tonnes of construction waste per 100 m². This data provides an estimate of waste produced during the construction phase only and does not include demolition, excavation, or groundworks waste.

Table 18.35: Construction Waste Summary

Total Floorspace of New Buildings (m ²) (excluding mezzanines)	Total Building Construction Waste (tonnes)
27,000 (incl. Management Suite extension)	3,402

- 18.6.23. On the assumption that the recycling rates would be a minimum of 90% to meet national performance, with the remaining 10% sent to landfill, the total amount of construction waste to be recycled is 3,062 tonnes with the remaining 340 tonnes to be sent to landfill.

- 18.6.24. The magnitude of impact from the total quantity of construction waste is considered to be negligible, having regard to available capacity. The impact from construction waste is therefore considered to be not significant.
- 18.6.25. A summary of anticipated construction impacts for both materials use and waste, and their likely effects, is presented in **Table 18.36**.

Table 18.36: Construction Materials and Waste Impacts and Effects

Activity	Activities with Potential impacts on material resource / waste	Sensitivity of Receptor	Description of Magnitude	Significance
Site preparation earthworks	Excavation and filling using site won materials, disposal of unsuitable material	Very High	Negligible	Negligible
Construction	Use of quarried aggregate for construction (Concrete, sub-base, road surfacing)	Medium	Negligible	Negligible
Construction	Generation of construction waste	Low	Negligible	Negligible

Transportation of Waste

- 18.6.26. The movement of waste would be undertaken by road. The extent of the impacts would be proportional to the waste generated and any reduction in waste would reduce the impacts on the road network. During construction works the reuse of material on-site would reduce waste movements. The impacts on traffic (**Chapter 6: Traffic and Transportation (Document MCO 6.6)**), noise (**Chapter 7: Noise (Document MCO 6.7)**), air quality (**Chapter 8: Air Quality (Document MCO 6.8)**) and climate change (**Chapter 19: Climate Change (Document MCO 6.19)**) are assessed elsewhere in this ES. Any betterment in the reduction of waste generated would automatically reduce the transportation impact.

Operational Effects

- 18.6.27. The likely significant effects for materials and waste associated with the operational phase for the MCO Scheme are summarised in this section.
- 18.6.28. It is assumed that operational waste will comprise standard bi-products associated with warehouse and non-specialised industrial operations. These wastes can generally be grouped into categories based on their source and material composition. Below is an outline of standard wastes associated with warehousing operations:
- Packaging - plastics, cardboard, wood, metal strapping and synthetic polymers such as polystyrene);

- General Waste - includes non-recyclable items like food wrappers, office waste, or small quantities of miscellaneous items;
- Damaged or Unsellable Goods - products that cannot be resold or reused due to damage or expiration;
- Hazardous Waste – batteries, Electrical and Electronic Equipment (WEEE) including outdated or broken machinery, lighting fixtures, or IT equipment, cleaning products and paints, oils / lubricants and solvents used in maintenance; and
- Organic Waste – such as food waste and compostable materials such as biodegradable packaging.

Waste Generation

18.6.29. **Table 18.37** below estimates the likely C&I waste generation from the operations buildings for the MCO Scheme. These estimates are based upon floor area and appropriate benchmark metrics as outline in Section 18.2. In accordance with relevant British Standards and industry guidance (e.g. BS 5906 and BS EN 15978), waste calculations are typically based on the gross or net internal floor area associated with primary functional spaces. Mezzanine levels are often excluded from these calculations where they are ancillary in nature, not fully enclosed, non-permanent, or do not materially affect occupancy or the intensity of use. As such, the mezzanine floor has not been included within the baseline waste estimates for this development.

Table 18.37: MCO Scheme Building Operation C&I Waste Generation Estimations

Description	Indicative Gross Internal Area (GIA) (m ²) (excluding mezzanine]	Weekly General Waste Arisings (Tonnes)	Annual General Waste Arisings (Tonnes)	Weekly Recycling Waste Arisings (Tonnes)*	Annual Recycling Waste Arisings (Tonnes)
Ancillary Offices	5,300	132.6	3,447.6	92.8	2,412.8
Warehouse / Industrial Unit	21,200	52.9	2,750.8	37.0	1,925.6
Management Suite extension	500	12.5	650	8.75	455
Total	27,000	198	6,848.4	138.6	4,793.4
<i>*recovery targeted at 70%</i>					

Waste Disposal

- 18.6.30. Based upon the anticipated operational waste arisings outlined in this chapter and taking into consideration the receptors of energy from waste facilities and landfill facilities, **Table 18.38** below shows the magnitude and sensitivity that the operational wastes would have on waste infrastructure in the Refined Study Area.
- 18.6.31. As per IEMA guidance, the assessment considers the percentage depletion of remaining landfill capacity or remaining permitted capacity in other final management capacity (energy from waste facilities). The assessment includes use of landfill, and energy from waste, for general wastes simply to demonstrate the negligible impact upon either option given the small volumes of general wastes.

Table 18.38: Operational Wastes Magnitude and Sensitivity

Operational Waste	Assumed Waste Fate	Available Capacity (%)	Sensitivity (%)	Magnitude
General waste	Energy from Waste	804,000 tonnes per annum	<1% Low	Negligible
	Recycling centres	>2,910,000 tonnes per annum	<1% Low	Negligible
	Non- hazardous landfill (90% diversion per annum)	17,122,865 tonnes per annum*	0.01% Negligible	Negligible
*conversion density considered 5kg/l				

- 18.6.32. Based upon the magnitude of impact, and sensitivity of receptors, the operational wastes from the MCO Scheme will result in a Negligible effect (Not Significant) upon landfill capacity and a Negligible effect (Not Significant) effect upon recovery facilities within the Refined Study Area.

Materials

- 18.6.33. Material use is not included within the assessment of operational circumstances, as all significant material consumption is associated with the construction phase of the MCO Scheme, at the operational stage, the scheme is not expected to involve manufacturing or material-intensive activities. In the unlikely event that any manufacturing does occur, it is not anticipated that such processes would involve the use of construction materials. Therefore, materials consumption is not considered relevant to the operational phase.

Mitigation Measures

- 18.6.34. This section sets out the additional mitigation and enhancement measures, over and above the embedded mitigation, for the MCO Scheme which are relevant to the materials and waste assessment for the construction phase and operation phases.

Additional Mitigation

Construction

- 18.6.35. Measures would be implemented to collectively mitigate the impacts identified from both the use of materials and the management of waste in relation to the MCO Scheme. There is significant synergy between materials re-use and the avoidance of the generation of waste, and therefore there is a substantial overlap between the mitigation measures for materials and waste.
- 18.6.36. The importance of careful management of materials to promote re-use and waste reduction has been widely recognised by the construction industry. Both legislation and voluntary best practice mechanisms have been developed and implemented. These provide measurable and accountable processes and provide the basis for mitigating environmental effects associated with materials and waste.
- 18.6.37. The principal mitigation measures relating to this topic are set out in the Construction Management Framework Plan that was approved as part of the EMG1 DCO.
- 18.6.38. The following standard measures will be set out in a CEMP for the development of Plot 16 pursuant to Requirement 11 of the EMG1 DCO to minimise the use of materials and generation of waste:
- Topsoil removal and re-use will be undertaken as set out above for the DCO Application;
 - Chemical testing of soil to determine the extent that can be re-used on-site; and
 - Appropriate storage of materials and waste.

Operational Phase

- 18.6.39. The assessment has concluded that the effects of material consumption and waste generation during the operational phase are not significant. However, best practice design and operation measures to minimise impacts are considered and the occupiers will operate the MCO Scheme using existing on-site waste prevention, minimisation and management processes and procedures to drive good practice behaviour and contracts, to maximise action in the highest tiers of the Waste Hierarchy and adherence to the proximity principle. Circular Economy practices will be identified and considered to design out wastes, reduce wastes and to divert materials from landfill, into other productive uses.
- 18.6.40. Examples of mitigation measures that will be considered to reduce operational materials and operational waste may include the following:
- Operators will engage early with Contractor(s) to identify opportunities to move wastes up the hierarchy through, for example, valorising of municipal and industrial wastes into new and valuable materials using collaboration and regional synergies;
 - Exploring opportunities to move the treatment of hazardous wastes up the hierarchy from landfill to recovery or recycling once compositions and tonnages are known. For example, this ES has modelled the significance of impacts of operational wastes

by considering the treatment of materials within energy from waste recovery plants or landfill sites. Once the composition and tonnages are better known, the Applicant will explore opportunities to move these wastes up the hierarchy using alternative recovery, valorisation or recycling methods;

- Ensuring that consumables and other materials include a high level of recycled and secondary content where technically and economically feasible;
- Careful estimation and ordering of the operational material needed on-site at any given time to minimise the likelihood of surplus materials. This will also reduce the risk of material being stored on-site for long periods of time, with a risk of damage or decay;
- Source reusable leased plant, assets and other aspects for temporary periods which can then be returned to the supplier for reuse, rather than to procure new components which then have to be sold, recycled or disposed when no longer required;
- The Applicant will engage with suppliers to identify opportunities to procure materials and supplies that afford higher sustainability performances than typical industry standards;
- The Applicant will engage with suppliers to ensure that, where feasible, procurement agreements include takeback schemes wherein suppliers are obliged to take back any packaging as well as surplus or spent materials; and
- The Applicant will engage with local third parties, such as educational establishments, to divert suitable waste materials into use as supplies for local projects or into use within local college courses. This will move wastes up the hierarchy from recycling to reuse.

Management of hazardous waste

- 18.6.41. It is not expected that any significant quantity of hazardous waste would be produced during the operational phase. Although there would be oily rags and other light plant maintenance wastes that would be hazardous. Any hazardous waste produced during the operational phase would be segregated and stored securely before being disposed of by an approved and appropriately licensed hazardous waste contractor, in accordance with the Hazardous Waste Regulations (as amended 2015) and the associated Hazardous Waste Classification Guidance (2015).

Residual Effects

- 18.6.42. As the MCO Scheme is not expected to give rise to any significant effects prior to additional mitigation measures, the impact will be negligible and therefore, no significant residual effects are expected to occur.

18.7. Assessment of EMG2 Project

- 18.7.1. As set out in Section 1 of this Chapter, and at **Table 18.1**, the EMG2 Project as a whole is the combination of the DCO Scheme and the MCO Scheme which have been assessed in Sections 18.5 and 18.6 of this Chapter.

Baseline Conditions

- 18.7.2. The baseline conditions have been described at Section 18.5 at paragraphs 18.5.3 to 18.5.47 in respect of both the DCO Scheme and MCO Scheme.

Potential Impacts

- 18.7.3. The potential impacts of the EMG2 Project as a whole remain as set out at Section 18.5 with regard to the DCO Scheme and at Section 18.6. for the MCO Scheme and in **Table 18.41** and **18.42** at the end of this Chapter. The combination of the EMG2 Project is considered to have no greater effect than the associated components, given the effects are predominantly negligible, (DCO Scheme and MCO Scheme) individually.

Mitigation Measures

- 18.7.4. The mitigation measures proposed for both the DCO Scheme and MCO Scheme are set out in Section 18.5 and 18.6 of this Chapter. With due regard to the additional mitigation measures that will be employed for both the DCO Scheme and MCO Scheme, it is anticipated that the potential impacts of the EMG2 Project on both material consumption and waste generation would be negligible and therefore, no significant residual effects are expected to occur

Residual Effects

- 18.7.5. With due regard to the additional mitigation measures that will be employed for both the DCO Scheme and MCO Scheme, it is anticipated that potential impacts of the combined EMG2 Project on both material consumption and waste generation would be negligible and therefore, no significant residual effects are expected to occur.

18.8. Cumulative Effects

- 18.8.1. There may be additional impacts on materials use and waste disposal when the EMG2 Project is assessed together with other schemes. The assessment of construction waste is included in the baseline assessment within this chapter with a review of capacity capturing the effects from any other scheme currently operating and feeding the landfill sites.
- 18.8.2. The LCC Minerals and Waste Local Plan identifies the potential increase in capacity for minerals and landfill volume. The Barwell and Earl Shilton sustainable urban extension were the exception and the plan identified a requirement for new waste sites to be incorporated into the employment land allocated within the master planning of these urban extensions.
- 18.8.3. Of the 12 committed developments deemed applicable to the cumulative assessment of the EMG2 Project (as set out in **Chapter 21: Cumulative Impacts, Document DCO 6.21/MCO 6.21**) 11 are considered to be relevant to this Chapter. The only development not deemed to be applicable is the approved solar farm at Donington Park Service Area, Jct 23A (Application Ref. 23/01712/FULM) as solar farms produce very little waste during either the construction or operational phases. The remaining developments comprise residential and employment use projects that would broadly use similar materials in their construction and produce similar waste streams during their operation, should they receive planning permission.
- 18.8.4. A review of waste facilities within the 5 km radius of the Location Plans (**Documents DCO 2.1/MCO 2.1**) identified that there are two facilities within this zone, Lockington Quarry Landfill Site and Shardlow Quarry, which could accept waste that would be produced during both construction and operational phases of those developments. According to EA data^{vii}, These two landfill facilities currently have a combined total remaining capacity of 0.12 Mt.
- 18.8.5. Future schemes are also expected to generate construction and operational waste, which would draw on local waste management facilities and, in turn, reduce the remaining capacity available to the EMG2 Project. However, detailed information on the precise waste outputs and timings of other developments is not publicly available, and as such it is not possible to quantify the cumulative landfill effect with certainty. To ensure robustness, the EMG2 Project has therefore been assessed on a reasonable worst-case basis, assuming that up to 0.04 Mt of waste could arise. It is anticipated that at least 90% of this waste will be recovered or diverted from landfill, meaning the actual landfill demand will be substantially lower. Regional development may also provide positive opportunities for material management, including the donation of surplus earthworks, extensions to quarries, or acting as receiver sites for any surplus arisings from the EMG2 Project. Taking these factors into account, whilst cumulative development activity may increase demand on local facilities, the EMG2 Project contribution is small relative to available capacity, and the overall effect is assessed to be not significant.

18.9. Summary of Effects and Conclusions

DCO Application

Materials

- 18.9.1. It is anticipated that a large quantity of materials would be required for the construction of the DCO Scheme though it has been determined that there is sufficient availability within both the Refined Study Area and the UK.
- 18.9.2. The design and mitigation measures outlined would ensure the efficient use of material assets on-site, the reuse of material is made a priority and recycled or secondary material is used wherever technically appropriate and economically feasible. This would be in line to achieve the regional percentage targets specified in Leicestershire. Overall, with the use of mitigation measures in place as identified above, it is considered that the DCO Scheme would not give rise to any significant residual effects.

Waste

- 18.9.3. The DCO Scheme will be a generator of waste during both construction and operation. The key environmental effect resulting from the generation and management of waste is the impact on reduction or alteration in the regional capacity of waste infrastructure. The mitigation measures outlined would ensure the implementation of circular economy and the waste hierarchy principles, aimed to minimise the generation of waste in the first place.
- 18.9.4. A worst-case scenario is anticipated where inert waste would generate the largest quantities of waste. In a worst-case scenario, non-hazardous waste arisings are anticipated to be less than 1 percent of the regional non-hazardous landfill void capacity.
- 18.9.5. Potential arising of hazardous waste is not yet been quantified and worst-case scenario does not anticipate hazardous waste arisings to be greater than the 0.35 percent of the regional hazardous landfill void capacity.
- 18.9.6. Following the implementation of the design and mitigation measures, as outlined within the assessment, it is concluded that the DCO Scheme would not give rise to any significant residual effects.
- 18.9.7. A summary of effects and mitigation is provided in **Table 18.39** below.

MCO Application

Materials

- 18.9.8. It is anticipated that a much smaller quantity of materials would be required for the construction of the MCO Scheme and it has been determined that there is sufficient availability within both the Refined Study Area and the UK.
- 18.9.9. The design and mitigation measures outlined would ensure the efficient use of material assets on-site, the reuse of material is made a priority and recycled or secondary material is

used wherever technically appropriate and economically feasible. This would be in line to achieve the regional percentage targets specified in Leicestershire. It is considered that the MCO Scheme would not give rise to any significant residual effects.

Waste

- 18.9.10. The MCO Scheme will be a generator of waste during both construction and operation, albeit to a much smaller extent.
- 18.9.11. A worst-case scenario is anticipated where inert waste would generate the largest quantities of waste from the MCO Scheme. In a worst-case scenario, non-hazardous waste arisings are anticipated to be less than 1 percent of the regional non-hazardous landfill void capacity.
- 18.9.12. Potential arising of hazardous waste is not yet been quantified and worst-case scenario does not anticipate hazardous waste arisings to be greater than the 0.35 percent of the regional hazardous landfill void capacity.
- 18.9.13. Following the implementation of the design and mitigation measures, as outlined within the assessment, it is concluded that the MCO Scheme would not give rise to any significant residual effects.
- 18.9.14. A summary of effects and mitigation is provided in **Table 18.40** below.

EMG2 Project

- 18.9.15. With due regard to the additional mitigation measures that will be employed for both the DCO Scheme and MCO Scheme, it is anticipated that neither Scheme gives rise to significant effects individually and this remains unchanged when assessed together. Therefore the potential impacts of the EMG2 Project on both material consumption and waste generation would be negligible and therefore, no significant residual effects are expected to occur.

Cumulative Effects

- 18.9.16. With regard to the cumulative effects of the EMG2 Project with other committed development, whilst this will increase the potential impacts, the volume of waste generated compared to the waste management capacity is small and the effect is assessed to be not significant.

Table 18.39: Summary of Effects and Mitigation for the DCO Scheme

Description of the Effect	Sensitive Receptor	Significance of Effect	Design, Mitigation, Enhancement measures	Residual Effect
Construction				
Consumption of material resources	Material resource availability	Negligible Adverse (Not Significant))	Site Waste and Materials Management Plan	Negligible Adverse (Not Significant)
Disposal and recovery of waste	Landfill void capacity	Negligible Adverse (Not Significant)	Construction Environmental Management Plan Site Waste and Materials Management Plan Earthworks Strategy 90% Landfill Diversion Target	Negligible Adverse (Not Significant)
Operational Phase				
Consumption of material resources	Material resource availability	Minor Adverse (Not Significant)	Operational Environmental Management Plan	Negligible Adverse (Not Significant)
Disposal of waste	Landfill void capacity	Negligible Adverse (Not Significant)	Operational Environmental Management Plan	Negligible Adverse (Not Significant)
Recovery of waste	Energy from waste and recycling centres	Minor Adverse (Not Significant)	Operational Environmental Management Plan	Minor Adverse (Not Significant)

Table 18.40: Summary of Effects and Mitigation for the MCO Scheme

Description of the Effect	Sensitive Receptor	Significance of Effect	Design, Mitigation, Enhancement measures	Residual Effect
Construction				
Consumption of material resources	Material resource availability	Negligible Adverse (Not Significant)	-	Negligible Adverse (Not Significant)
Disposal and recovery of waste	Landfill void capacity	Negligible Adverse (Not Significant)	Construction Environmental Management Plan Earthworks Strategy 90% Landfill Diversion Target	Negligible Adverse (Not Significant)
Operational Phase				
Consumption of material resources	Material resource availability	Minor Adverse (Not Significant)	Operational Environmental Management Plan	Negligible Adverse (Not Significant)
Disposal of waste	Landfill void capacity	Negligible Adverse (Not Significant)	Operational Environmental Management Plan	Negligible Adverse (Not Significant)
Recovery of waste	Energy from waste and recycling centres	Minor Adverse (Not Significant)	Operational Environmental Management Plan	Minor Adverse (Not Significant)

References

-
- ⁱ IEMA (2020): IEMA guide to: Materials and Waste in Environmental Impact Assessment. Guidance for a proportionate approach. <https://www.iema.net/media/0t5fwyhj/iema-materials-and-waste-in-eia-march-2020.pdf>
- ⁱⁱ National Highways (formerly Highways England) (2019): Design Manual for Roads and Bridges; LA 110 Material assets and waste. Revision 0. <https://www.standardsforhighways.co.uk/tses/attachments/6a19a7d4-2596-490d-b17b-4c9e570339e9?inline=true>
- ⁱⁱⁱ Department for Business and Trade Monthly 'Bulletin of Building Materials and Components': November 2024: <https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/building-materials-and-components-monthly-statistics-2012>
- ^{iv} Natural England (2024); MAGIC Mapping: <https://magic.defra.gov.uk/magicmap.aspx>
- ^v Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs ('DEFRA') (2024) UK Statistics on Waste: <https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/uk-waste-data/uk-statistics-on-waste>
- ^{vi} Environment Agency (2023): Waste Data Interrogator; <https://www.data.gov.uk/dataset/59ab8448-3905-49c6-9122-ae762f96f66b/2023-waste-data-interrogator>
- ^{vii} EA 'Remaining Landfill Capacity, England' (2024): <https://environment.data.gov.uk/defra/6d0cd361-d465-11e4-81cd-f0def148f590/details>
- ^{viii} Leicestershire County Council (2024) Local Aggregate Assessment
- ^{ix} Leicestershire County Council (2024) Authority Monitoring Report 2022-2023
- ^x Derbyshire County Council (2024) Local Aggregate Assessment
- ^{xi} Nottinghamshire County Council and Nottingham (2023) Local Aggregate Assessment
- ^{xii} Nottinghamshire County Council (2021) Minerals Local Plan
- ^{xiii} Nottinghamshire County Council and Nottingham City Council (2023): Nottinghamshire and Nottingham Waste Needs Assessment: 2022-2023 update
- ^{xiv} British Standards Institute (2005). 'BS 5906:2005 Waste Management in Buildings – Code of Practice'
- ^{xv} CIRIA (Construction Industry Research and Information Association): benchmarks on waste densities for construction and commercial activities.
- ^{xvi} European Waste Catalogue (EWC): COMMISSION DECISION of 3 May 2000 replacing Decision 94/3/EC establishing a list of wastes pursuant to Article 1(a) of Council Directive 75/442/EEC on waste and Council Decision 94/904/EC establishing a list of hazardous waste pursuant to Article 1(4) of Council Directive 91/689/EEC on hazardous waste.
- ^{xvii} Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (October 2015): Planning practice guidance; Waste. <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/waste>
- ^{xviii} Department for Business and Trade. (2023). 'Monthly Bulletin of Building Materials and Components.' Available at: <https://www.data.gov.uk/dataset/75ee36ed-21f7-4d7b-9e7c-f5bf4546145d/monthly-statistics-of-building-materials-and-components>
- ^{xix} Mineral Products Association. (2023). 'Profile of the UK Mineral Products Industry'. Available at: https://mineralproducts.org/MPA/media/root/Publications/2023/Profile_of_the_UK_Mineral_Products_Industry_2023.pdf
- ^{xx} Environment Agency. (2023). '2022 Remaining Landfill capacity, England
- ^{xxi} Environment Agency. (2024). '2023 Remaining Landfill capacity, England – Version 1'. Available at: <https://data.gov.uk/dataset/237825cb-dc10-4c53-8446-1bcd35614c12/remaining-landfill-capacity>
- ^{xxii} Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. (2023). 'UK Statistics on Waste'. Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/uk-waste-data/uk-statistics-on-waste>
- ^{xxiii} Smart Waste BRE Waste Benchmark Data (2012)